	Page 1
BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD	
WILL COUNTY,)	
Petitioner,)	
vs) PCB 16-54	
VILLAGE OF ROCKDALE, BOARD OF) TRUSTEES OF VILLAGE OF ROCKDALE) and ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING AND) DISPOSAL SERVICES, INC.,)	
Respondents.)	
WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,)	
Petitioner,)	
vs) PCB 16-56	
VILLAGE OF ROCKDALE, BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF VILLAGE OF ROCKDALE and ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING AND DISPOSAL SERVICES, INC., Respondents.	

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS

taken before the HEARING OFFICER BRADLEY P. HALLORAN

by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public

within and for the County of Cook and State of

Illinois, at Rockdale Village Hall, Board Room,

79 Moen Avenue, Rockdale, Illinois, on the 12th

day of January 2016, at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

```
Page 2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
         ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
         100 West Randolph Street
 3
         Suite 11-500
         Chicago, Illinois
                             60601
 4
         (312) 814-3461
         BY: MR. BRADLEY P. HALLORAN, HEARING OFFICER,
 5
 6
         HINSHAW & CULBERTSON,
         100 Park Avenue
 7
         P.O. Box 1389
         Rockford, Illinois
                              61105-1389
 8
         (815) 490-4900
         chelsten@hinshawlaw.com
 9
         BY: MR. CHARLES F. HELSTEN,
10
              -and-
11
         OFFICE OF JAMES W. GLASGOW,
         WILL COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY,
12
         57 North Ottawa Street
         6th Floor
13
         Joliet, Illinois
                            60432
         (815) 727-8751
14
         mguzman@willcountyillinois.com
         BY: MATTHEW L. GUZMAN,
15
              Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner,
16
              Will County;
17
         PEDERSEN & HOUPT,
         161 North Clark Street
18
         Suite 3100
19
         Chicago, Illinois
                            60601-3224
         (217) 782-5544
         dmoran@pedersenhoupt.com
2.0
         BY: MR. DONALD J. MORAN,
21
                  Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner,
22
                  Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.;
23
24
```

```
Page 3
     A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
 1
 2
         KLEIN, THORPE & JENKINS, LTD.,
 3
         20 North Wacker Drive
         Suite 1660
 4
         Chicago, Illinois
                             60606
         (312) 984-6400
 5
         dqwalsh@ktjlaw.com
         BY: MR. DENNIS G. WALSH,
 6
              -and-
 7
         SPESIA & AYERS,
         1415 Black Road
 8
         Joliet, Illinois
                            60435
 9
         (815) 726-4311
         mstiff@spesia-ayers.com
              MR. MICHAEL STIFF,
10
11
                  Appeared on behalf of the Respondents,
                  Village of Rockdale and Board of
                  Trustees of Village of Rockdale;
12
13
         MUELLER ANDERSON, P.C.,
14
         609 Etna Road
         Ottawa, Illinois
                            61350
15
         (815) 431-1500
         george@muelleranderson.com
         BY: MR. GEORGE MUELLER,
16
17
                  Appeared on behalf of the Respondent,
                  Environmental Recycling and Disposal
18
                  Services, Inc.;
19
     ALSO PRESENT:
20
     Mr. Tim Anderson, Will County
     Mr. Henry Barry
21
     Mr. John Hock, CEC
2.2
     Mr. Donald Ipema, ERDS
     Mr. Jay Ipema, ERDS
     Mr. Robert "Mick" Joutras, Village of Rockdale,
23
     Mr. Samuel Wyke, Village of Rockdale, Mayor
24
```

			Page	4
1	I N D E X	PAGES		
2	Introduction by Hearing Officer	5 - 10		
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Moran	10 - 11		
5	Opening Statement by Mr. Helsten	11 - 12		
6	DX of D. Ipema by Mr. Moran	14 - 25		
7	CX of D. Ipema by Mr. Mueller	26 - 29		
8	RDX of D. Ipema by Mr. Moran	29 - 31		
9	DX of J. Ipema by Mr. Moran	33 - 51		
10	CX of J. Ipema by Mr. Helsten	51 - 53		
11	CX of J. Ipema by Mr. Mueller	53 - 60		
12	RDX of J. Ipema by Mr. Moran	60 - 66		
13	RCX of J. Ipema by Mr. Helsten	67 - 73		
14	RCX of J. Ipema by Mr. Mueller	73 - 75		
15	Concluding Remarks by Hearing Officer	75 - 76		
16				
17	EXHIBITS PRI	ESENTED		
18	Hock PowerPoint Exhibit No. 7	61		
19				
20 21				
22				
23				
24				

	Page 5
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Good
2	morning, everybody. I'm Brad Halloran. I'm
3	a hearing officer with the Illinois Pollution
4	Control Board. I'm also assigned to this
5	consolidated case and that case is entitled Will
6	County, Petitioner, versus Village of Rockdale,
7	Board of Trustees of Village of Rockdale and
8	Environmental Recycling and Disposal Services,
9	Inc., PCB 16-54, Waste Management of Illinois,
10	Inc., Petitioner, versus Village of Rockdale,
11	Board of Trustees of Village of Rockdale and
12	Environmental Recycling and Disposal Services,
13	Inc., Respondents. That docket is PCB 16-56.
14	In this case, I am reading from
15	the October 15th Board order in PCB 16-54, Will
16	County appeals on the ground that the jurisdiction
17	requirements of Section 39.2(b) of the Act were
18	not met and conditional approval of the application
19	is contrary to Section 39.2 of the Act.
20	And then in PCB 16.56, again
21	in the October 15th Board order, Waste Management
22	appeals on the grounds that jurisdictional
23	requirements of Section 39.2(b) of the Act were
24	not met and the applicant failed to establish

January 12, 2016

Page 6 1 that certain criteria were met. Those are the two 2 cases. 3 We have a number of people in 4 the room today, but they are all part of the 5 interested parties and our court reporter has all of their names and will transcribe that into the 6 7 transcript. 8 Today is January 12, 2016. 9 It's approximately 9:10 a.m. This here has been scheduled and noticed according to the Illinois 10 Pollution Control Board and the Environmental 11 12 Protection Act. It will be conducted according to procedural rules found in Sections 107 and 101 13 of the Board's procedural rules. 14 15 I will not make the ultimate Those are the five members of the 16 decision. 17 Board that will do that. After this hearing has concluded, I will set post-hearing briefing 18 19 schedules. The members will take a look at the record both below and here, the post-hearing 20 2.1 briefs and any public comment, and then render 22 their decision. 23 With that said, I would like 24 the parties from my right to left to introduce

```
Page 7
1
     themselves. Mr. Helsten?
2
                   MR. HELSTEN:
                                 Yes, thank you,
3
     Mr. Halloran. Chuck Helsten, special assistant,
4
     attorney -- special assistant state's attorney
5
     for Will County along with Mr. Matt Guzman,
6
     assistant state's attorney representing Will
7
     County.
8
                   HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                               Thank
9
     you.
                   MR. MORAN: Donald Moran, representing
10
     Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.
11
12
                   MR. MUELLER: George Mueller for
13
     Environmental Recycling & Disposal Services and
     with me today are Jay Ipema and Don Ipema, the
14
15
     owners of the company both of whom have also
16
     been asked to be here pursuant to Supreme Court
17
    Rule 237.
18
                   MR. STIFF:
                               Mike Stiff, village
19
     attorney for Rockdale.
20
                   MR. WALSH: Dennis Walsh, village
2.1
     attorney or Rockdale.
22
                   HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                               Thank
23
     you.
24
                       And prior to the hearing, I
```

```
Page 8
1
     have asked the county to explain or talk a little
     bit about their petition for review that was filed
2
     in the Board -- with the Board on -- was it October
3
4
     6, 2015, regarding the criteria.
5
                       Mr. Helsten or Mr. Guzman?
6
                   MR. HELSTEN: Sure. Thank you,
7
     Mr. Halloran.
8
                       As you had noted in your brief
9
     introduction, Mr. Halloran, the county's petition
     essentially sets forth -- the grounds that are
10
     set forth are, number one, the pre-filing notice
11
     was deficient. So it deprived the village board
12
     of jurisdiction to hear this matter.
13
14
                       Number two, a conditional
15
     approval is not -- which was effectuated by the
16
     village board in this case was not authorized by
17
     Section 39.2 of the Act.
18
                       The third grounds that the
19
     county alleged is that there was a second
20
     amendment to the application, which again is
     prohibited specifically by Section 39.2(d). I
2.1
22
     believe the last paragraph was Section 39.2(d)
23
     of the Act.
24
                       And there was also a challenge
```

```
Page 9
1
     to whether certain criterion were met.
2
     criterion which the county set forth in the
3
     petition for review are, number one, the facility
4
     is necessary to accommodate the needs of the
5
     area it was intended to serve; ii, the facility
6
     is so designed, located and proposed to be operated
7
     that the public health, safety and welfare be
8
     protected.
9
                       And then number five, although
10
     I believe just -- there was a typo -- I believe
     we have the verbiage of criterion five, which is
11
     the plan of operations for the facilities designed
12
13
     to minimize the danger to the surrounding area from
14
     fires, spills or other operational accidents, but
15
     I believe there's a typographical error. Instead
16
     of iv, in the petition, a iii appears. That again
17
     was simply mere inadvertence and I apologize and
18
     by way of clarification, that should be criterion
19
     iv as the text notes.
20
                   HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                               Thank
2.1
     you, Mr. Helsten.
22
                       Any response from the respondents?
23
                  MR. MUELLER: We were aware that they
24
     were challenging criteria in five.
```

```
Page 10
1
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
2
                  MR. MULLER: So Mr. Helsten's
3
    explanation is not a surprise, but I appreciate
4
    it.
5
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
6
    you, Mr. Mueller.
7
                       We want to get on to opening
8
    statements and if so, who is first? Mr. Moran,
9
    would you like to give an opening or reserve
10
    that?
11
                   MR. MORAN: I'll make some opening
12
    remarks.
13
             OPENING STATEMENTS
14
                          by Mr. Moran
                       Waste Management of Illinois,
15
16
     Inc. has filed a petition to review the decision
17
    of village of Rockdale. It's petition alleges
18
    three essential components.
19
                       The first is that the Village
20
    of Rockdale lacked jurisdiction to consider that
2.1
    application because of a defect in the pre-filing
22
    notice.
23
                       The petition also challenges
24
    the village's finding that criterion one was
```

	Page 11
1	established, that indeed the Rockdale hearing
2	officer who presented his own written report
3	and recommendations to Rockdale made the
4	determination that the applicant had not
5	established and had not proven that criterion
6	one had been dissatisfied.
7	In addition, criterion two,
8	we are also contending is not established by
9	the applicant.
LO	We are also contending that
L1	criterion eight, for consistency with the Will
L2	County Solid Waste Management Plan, was not
13	established.
L 4	We will obviously present
L5	information that supports each of these
L 6	contentions in our post-hearing briefs before
L7	the Board. Thank you.
L 8	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
L 9	you, Mr. Moran.
20	Any other openings, Mr. Helsten
21	or Mr. Mueller?
22	OPENING STATEMENTS
23	by Mr. Helsten
24	Will County's concern centers

```
Page 12
1
     around health, safety and welfare. That is our
2
     main concern. That is the gravamen and the
3
     touchstone and the foundation of essentially all
4
     of the grounds for our appeal, that the volume --
5
     intended volume that this facility intends to
6
     receive has been a moving target and basically --
7
     essentially, a rolling disclosure and there is --
8
     while the county feels that at some lower level,
9
     the facility most likely meets the criteria, not
10
     at the level which was indicated by the applicant
11
     on the last day of its -- last day of the hearing,
12
     which was either 800 tons per day or worse yet,
13
     an unlimited no limitation on daily input or
14
     through-put.
15
                   HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
16
     you. Mr. Mueller?
17
                   MR. MUELLER: ERDS is going to reserve
18
     opening arguments.
19
                   HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
20
     Thank you.
2.1
                       Mr. Walsh or Mr. Stiff, any
22
     opening?
23
                   MR. WALSH:
                               No, no opening.
24
                   HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
```

```
Page 13
1
     Thank you.
2
                       All right. It looks like,
3
     Mr. Moran, you've noticed up the two witnesses.
4
     Would you like to begin with them now?
5
                   MR. MORAN: Yes, I would,
     Mr. Halloran.
6
7
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
8
                  MR. MORAN: I would ask that
9
    Mr. Don Ipema take the stand.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
11
     Would the witness stand up and Lori will swear
12
     you in. I think we're going to have you sit
13
     here, Mr. Ipema.
14
                  MR. DONALD IPEMA:
                                      Okay.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can
16
     turn it around so you don't have to look at
17
    Mr. Helsten and Mr. Guzman.
                  THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your right
18
            Do you swear the testimony you're about to
19
20
     give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing
2.1
    but the truth, so help you God?
22
                  MR. DONALD IPEMA: I do.
23
                  THE COURT REPORTER:
                                        Thank you.
                                                   Please
24
     keep your voice up.
```

	Page 14
1	(Witness sworn.)
2	WHEREUPON:
3	DONALD R. IPEMA
4	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
5	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	by Mr. Moran
8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Ipema. Would you
9	state your full name, please, and spell your last
10	name for the court reporter?
11	A. Donald Richard Ipema, last name is
12	I-P-E-M-A.
13	Q. And, Mr. Ipema, what is your
14	occupation?
15	A. Private scavenger, president of
16	Environmental Recycling & Disposal.
17	Q. What is the nature of the business
18	of Environmental Recycling & Disposal Services,
19	Inc.?
20	A. We are a waste hauler handling
21	commercial, light industrial and residential
22	waste.
23	Q. Where is it located?
24	A. In Rockdale at 2277 Moen.

	Page 15
1	Q. Is that on the same property that
2	the proposed solid waste transfers station is
3	located?
4	A. It will be located, yes, or is
5	intended to be.
6	(Whereupon, Mr. Hock
7	entered the proceedings.)
8	BY MR. MORAN:
9	Q. And currently, ERDS operates a waste
10	hauling operation from that location?
11	A. Yes, it does.
12	Q. What are your responsibilities as
13	president of Environmental Recycling & Disposal
14	Services?
15	A. Basically, I retain the ownership of
16	the company and just oversight.
17	Q. Now, ERDS has proposed to develop
18	a solid waste transfer station at that property
19	on Moen Avenue; is that correct?
20	A. Yes, it is.
21	Q. And ERDS hired Civil & Environmental
22	Consultants to prepare the site location
23	application for the proposed transfer station,
24	correct?

	Page 16
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And that property is a 2.16-acre
3	site?
4	A. Approximately, yes.
5	Q. Was John Hock the engineer at CEC
6	who was hired by ERDS to design the solid waste
7	transfer transportation?
8	A. Yes, he was.
9	Q. And Mr. Hock, indeed, designed this
10	proposed solid waste transfer station?
11	A. Yes, he did.
12	Q. Was Mr. Hock authorized to testify
13	on behalf of ERDS with respect to that design at
14	the citing hearing before the village of Rockdale?
15	A. Yes, he was.
16	Q. When did Mr. Hock submit his design
17	of the transfer station to you or to anyone at
18	ERDS?
19	A. That, I don't recall exactly. That
20	project was handled by Jay Ipema and he would know
21	better.
22	Q. Did he have any discussions with
23	Mr. Hock with respect to the design of the
24	proposed transfer station prior to December of

	Page 17
1	2014?
2	A. Mr. Hock reviewed it, yes.
3	Q. And did you have any discussions
4	with Mr. Hock about that design before December
5	of 2014?
6	A. I don't I don't recall because
7	again Jay handled the project.
8	Q. And the reason I say December of
9	2014 is that the application was filed with the
10	village of Rockdale in December of 2014; is that
11	your understanding?
12	A. Yes, yes.
13	Q. Now, did you participate in any
14	discussions with Will County regarding the proposed
15	solid waste transfer station?
16	A. Say that again.
17	Q. Did you participate in any
18	conversations with the county of Will regarding
19	the proposed transfer station on Moen Avenue?
20	A. Jay led up that project. I attended
21	meetings with him primarily as the owner of
22	Environmental Recycling.
23	Q. Do you recall having participated
24	in some meetings with Will County regarding the

	Page 18
1	proposed transfer station, but you don't recall
2	any specific conversations?
3	A. Right.
4	Q. Now, Mr. Hock's design of this
5	proposed solid waste transfer station included
6	a through-put capacity; is that your understanding?
7	A. Based upon based upon the
8	information given to him of the of what we
9	handle, those were the parameters that he would
10	have designed.
11	Q. And he did design a through-put
12	capacity for the proposed transfer station,
13	correct?
14	A. He designed an operated capacity
15	that we would, as we operated it at a of 125
16	tons per day and I believe he had done his
17	calculations based upon 200 tons per day.
18	Q. Well, and he also based his
19	through-put evaluation on a 600-ton per day
20	evaluation; isn't that right?
21	MR. MUELLER: I'm going to
22	object. I think that question is misleading
23	and unclear.
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could

January 12, 2016

Page 19 1 you rephrase, Mr. Moran? BY MR. MORAN: 2 3 0. Mr. Ipema, was it your understanding 4 that Mr. Hock also conducted an evaluation of this 5 proposed transfer station at a 600-ton per day 6 scenario? 7 MR. MORAN: Object. That scenario 8 is vaque. 9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Try one more time, Mr. Moran. 10 BY MR. MORAN: 11 12 Mr. Ipema, did Mr. Hock conduct a Q. 13 through-put evaluation of the proposed transfer 14 station at a 600-ton per day through-put? 15 I believe his calculations of 600 16 tons per day were based upon a host agreement 17 with the county that had -- that included a 18 600-ton per day threshold for host fees as well 19 in doing that, I think it would be wise of him 20 to calculate and submitting the calculation at 2.1 that to accommodate a 200-ton per day amount 22 that he would handle. 23 Q. So is the answer to my question 24 yes?

		Page 20
1	Α.	The answer to the question is he
2	submitted o	alculations based upon 600 tons per
3	day and 200	tons per day.
4	Q.	Now, you mentioned a host agreement
5	with Will (county. Is that a host agreement for
6	this propos	ed transfer station?
7	Α.	Yes, it is.
8	Q.	Did you participate in any
9	conversatio	ons regarding the negotiation of that
10	host agreem	ment with Will County?
11	Α.	I did not, but Jay headed up the
12	project.	
13	Q.	Are you aware of whether a host
14	agreement w	as entered into with Will County?
15	Α.	Yes, I am. It was.
16	Q.	And was that a written agreement?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	And that written agreement was
19	signed by h	ooth ERDS and Will County in October
20	of 2014?	
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And that host agreement provided
23	for the pay	ment of a host fee to Will County for
24	waste that	would be accepted at the proposed

January 12, 2016

	Page 21
1	transfer station?
2	A. There was a threshold amount that
3	I believe it was 600 tons per day.
4	Q. So when the solid waste transfer
5	station received 600 tons per day at that threshold,
6	ERDS would then be obligated to pay Will County
7	host fees based on that waste in excess of 600
8	tons per day?
9	A. I'd have to review the host agreement
10	right now. I don't recall if it was 600 tons per
11	day or an average of.
12	Q. Well, would it be fair to say that
13	the host agreement provided that a host fee would
14	be due the county if the through-put at the
15	transfer station excited 600 tons per day?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Would it be fair to say that
18	Mr. Hock completed his design of the proposed
19	transfer station by October of 2014 or by the
20	date the host community agreement with the county
21	was entered into?
22	A. I'd have to look back at the schedule
23	and the timing.

Do you know when Mr. Hock completed

24

Q.

		Page 22
1	his design of	the proposed transfer station?
2	Α.	Jay would have interacted with him
3	on that.	
4	Q.	Mr. Ipema, did you attend the
5	citing hearing	s before the village of Rockdale,
6	which took pla	ce on March 21st and 22nd and May
7	20th and 21st	of 2014?
8	Α.	I attended the hearings, yes.
9	Q.	And Mr. Hock testified on behalf of
10	ERDS at those	citing hearings?
11	Α.	Yes, he did.
12	Q.	And Mr. Hock described the through-put
13	evaluation tha	t he performed?
14	Α.	I believe he did.
15	Q.	He also testified with respect to
16	the through-pu	it capacity of the proposed transfer
17	station during	those hearings?
18	Α.	I believe he did.
19	Q.	And he also testified regarding
20	the request of	ERDS for Rockdale to approve a
21	through-put fo	or the proposed transfer station?
22	Α.	Repeat that.
23	Q.	Mr. Hock also testified with respect
24	to the request	that Rockdale approve certain

January 12, 2016

Page 23 1 through-put for the proposed transfer station? 2 Α. I'd have to look at the testimony. 3 0. But with respect to Mr. Hock's 4 testimony, what he indicated and stated during 5 that testimony was true and accurate? 6 The volumes that he presented on 7 the -- for operating a through-put, yes. 8 And Mr. Hock indicated that ERDS Q. 9 was seeking approval from Rockdale and the transfer 10 station to accept a minimum of 600 tons per day 11 with no limit or no cap on that volume? MR. MUELLER: Object, misstates 12 the evidence in the record. 13 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Moran? MR. MORAN: Well, I think it does 15 16 correctly state the evidence and I'm asking 17 him if, based on his attendance at those hearings, if Mr. Hock's statement to that 18 19 effect was correct. 2.0 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled. 2.1 He may answer if he is able. 22 BY THE WITNESS: 23 Α. I know that there were requests asked 24 of Mr. Hock at the time his calculations were based

January 12, 2016

Page 24 1 on 200 tons per day and 600 tons per day and I don't believe that -- that he said it would be 2 3 a minimum 600 tons per day. I'd have to look at 4 the testimony to confirm that. 5 BY MR. MORAN: 6 0. But what Mr. Hock indicated during 7 the course of the hearings was correct? 8 Α. He did calculations based upon 200 9 tons per day and 600 tons per day. Did you hear anything that Mr. Hock 10 11 testified to during the hearing that you determined 12 was incorrect or in any way incomplete? 13 Α. As I recall on the hearing, he was 14 asked questions about higher volumes, but that was 15 all -- as I recall, those were questions that were led to him. As I recall, they were in reference 16 17 of is this the safe and operational capabilities 18 of the facility. 19 0. Did he make any statements during 20 the course of the hearing that you disagreed with? 2.1 Α. In looking at -- the statements 22 that I recall were accurate in context of what he 23 developed. 24 And the village of Rockdale approved Q.

```
Page 25
 1
     the request of ERDS to accept 600 tons per day
 2
     of solid waste in the proposed transfer station?
 3
                   I don't believe that's correct.
            Α.
 4
     I believe they had a limitation of 300 tons per
 5
     day.
 6
            0.
                   Isn't it also true that the village,
 7
     in its decision, indicated that the through-put
 8
     could be increased to 600 tons per day by ERDS's
 9
     request?
10
            Α.
                   I believe that it was that they would
     review it.
11
12
                  MR. MORAN: Thank you, Mr. Ipema.
13
         I have no further questions.
14
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Remain
15
         seated. Mr. Mueller?
                  MR. MUELLER: I think Mr. Helsten
16
17
         would be next.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                              Okay.
19
         I didn't if you wanted to hurry up and
20
         follow-up.
2.1
                       Mr. Helsten?
22
                  MR. HELSTEN: No questions.
23
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
24
         Thank you.
```

•	Page 26
1	Village, any questions?
2	MR. WALSH: No question.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
4	Mr. Muller?
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	by Mr. Mueller
7	Q. Don, you indicated at the time that
8	the project started, your company controlled about
9	125 tons per day of waste?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. What was your volume intent for the
12	proposed facility; meaning, how much did you intend
13	to take by way of waste?
14	MR. HELSTEN: Object to not
15	an objection to Mr. Mueller's question,
16	but a request for clarification.
17	Does Mr. Mueller mean what
18	was was there an intended cap or ceiling
19	on through-put each day or
20	MR. MUELLER: That that's a
21	fair objection, Mr. Halloran. I will
22	rephrase.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
24	you, gentlemen.

	Page 27
1	BY MR. MUELLER:
2	Q. Okay. Don, what's your understanding
3	of through-put capacity?
4	A. What would be handled at the facility
5	on an average day's operation.
6	Q. Was that capacity or is that volume?
7	A. That's volume.
8	Q. All right. So your understanding
9	of volume is what the facility actually takes on
LO	a daily basis?
L1	A. That's correct.
L2	Q. And what's your understanding of
13	capacity?
L 4	A. What the site would be capable of
15	handling of what it would take to reach that
L 6	125 or 200-ton per day that it was based upon.
L7	Q. So in terms of volume, which is
L 8	what the facility is actually taking, what was
L 9	your intended volume for the facility?
20	A. The intended volume was 200 tons
21	per day.
22	Q. Has that number ever changed?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Is ERDS content with limiting the

	Page 28
1	volume to 300 tons per day?
2	A. Yes, we are.
3	Q. When Mr. Hock talked about 600
4	tons per day, was it your understanding that
5	he was talking about volume or safe capacity?
6	A. It would be safe capacity.
7	Q. And meaning that if it had to, the
8	facility could take more than 200 safely?
9	A. That's correct, yes.
10	Q. Did you ever understand Mr. Hock
11	to be asking or suggesting that the facility
12	would be taking 600 tons per day?
13	A. Say that again, please.
14	Q. Did you ever understand Mr. Hock
15	to be asking strike that. That is a bad
16	question. Let me move on.
17	Mr. Hock did ask or I should say
18	did Mr. Hock, as you understand it, ask that this
19	village not limit volume?
20	A. I believe there was discussion that
21	I heard about not asking volume because on transfer
22	stations in the state of Illinois are limited by
23	volume by permit and that was my understanding.
24	Q. Did, in your opinion, the absence of

January 12, 2016

Page 29 1 a volume limit, in Mr. Hock's request, change 2 your intent to only take 200 tons per day? 3 Α. No. 4 MR. MUELLER: That's all I have. 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 6 you, Mr. Mueller. 7 Mr. Moran, any redirect? 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 by Mr. Moran 10 Mr. Ipema, you just indicated your Q. 11 intent was to only request approval for 200 tons 12 per day; is that correct? 13 MR. MUELLER: I'm going to 14 object, he didn't testify to that. 15 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Oh, 16 sustained. Mr. Moran, rephrase. 17 BY MR. MORAN: 18 Mr. Ipema, was it your intent that Q. 19 the request from ERDS to Rockdale was for approval 20 of a facility that would accept an average of 200 2.1 tons per day of solid waste? 22 Say that again. Α. 23 Q. Was it your intent that ERDS would 24 request the village of Rockdale to approve a solid

	Page 30
1	waste transfer station that would accept an average
2	of 200 tons per day of solid waste?
3	A. When I say it's my intent, I'm saying
4	that as a company and Jay had those discussions and
5	reviewed them.
6	Q. And was that your understanding of
7	the intent of ERDS in requesting approval from the
8	village of Rockdale?
9	A. For the capacity for the volume
10	that would handle our operation.
11	Q. Do you have any information or
12	evidence that would indicate why the village of
13	Rockdale would then grant citing approval
14	initially for a 300-ton per day of through-put?
15	A. I believe anything that any of
16	the anything that came forward indicated the
17	volume that we handle.
18	Q. Did you at any point, after Mr. Hock
19	testified, point out to Mr. Hock that any part of
20	the testimony he gave regarding the through-put was
21	inaccurate?
22	A. In the context of the questions that
23	were asked and later on looking at testimony, I

didn't find any difference because transfer stations

24

```
Page 31
 1
     are not given that limit through permitting that
 2
     I'm aware of. So it would be no different.
 3
            Q.
                   And your understanding is that
 4
     when the Illinois Environmental Protection
 5
     Agency issues a permit for a transfer station,
 6
     it has not customarily ever imposed a limit on
 7
     the through-put for that transfer station; is
 8
     that correct?
 9
                   Not that I have seen.
            Α.
                  MR. MORAN: Nothing further.
10
11
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                              Thank
12
         you.
13
                       County?
14
                  MR. HELSTEN:
                                Nothing.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Village?
16
                  MR. WALSH: Nothing.
17
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And
18
         Mr. Mueller?
19
                                No, thank you.
                  MR. MUELLER:
2.0
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
2.1
         Mr. Ipema, you may step down, please.
22
         you.
23
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Okay.
24
                        (Witness excused.)
```

·		Page 32
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.	
2	Mr. Moran, you can call your next witness,	
3	if you'd like.	
4	MR. MORAN: Mr. Jay Ipema, we	
5	will call.	
6	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank	
7	you.	
8	Mr. Ipema, raise your right	
9	hand and Lori will swear you in, please.	
10	THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your	
11	right hand. Do you swear the testimony	
12	you're about to give will be the truth,	
13	the whole truth and nothing but the truth,	
14	so help you God?	
15	MR. JAY IPEMA: Yes, I do.	
16	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	
17	please keep your voice up.	
18	MR. JAY IPEMA: Of course.	
19	(Witness sworn.)	
20	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All	
21	right. You may proceed.	
22	MR. MORAN: Thank you.	
23		
24		

		Page 33
1	WHEREUPON:	
2		JAY A. IPEMA
3	called as a wi	itness herein, having been first duly
4	sworn, deposet	th and saith as follows:
5	DIR	E C T E X A M I N A T I O N
6		by Mr. Moran
7	Q.	Good morning, Jay. Could you state
8	your full name	e, please, and spell your last name for
9	the court repo	orter?
10	Α.	My full name is Jay Allen Ipema. My
11	last name is 1	I-P-E-M-A.
12	Q.	Mr. Ipema, what is your business or
13	occupation?	
14	Α.	I'm a garbage man essentially.
15	Q.	Are you employed?
16	Α.	I am.
17	Q.	By whom?
18	Α.	Environmental Recycling & Disposal.
19	Q.	How long have you been so employed?
20	Α.	Since, I believe, 1998.
21	Q.	What is your title or position with
22	Environmental	Recycling & Disposal?
23	Α.	Currently, I'm the vice-president of
24	Environmental	Recycling & Disposal.

	Page 34
1	Q. What are your duties and
2	responsibilities at vice-president?
3	A. I oversee the operations and I
4	oversee pretty much the way the company is
5	operated and the direction that we're moving
6	forward.
7	Q. And the nature of the business
8	of Environmental Recycling & Disposal is
9	currently waste hauling?
LO	A. Correct.
L1	Q. And the location of ERDS is the
L2	property on Moen Avenue?
13	A. Location of ERDS, yes.
L 4	Q. And that's the same site on which
15	the proposed solid waste transfer station is to
L 6	be located?
L7	A. Yes, it is.
L 8	Q. Now, did ERDS hire Civil &
L 9	Environmental Consultants to design this proposed
20	transfer station?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was John Hock the individual
23	engineer at CEC who was responsible for the
24	design of this transfer station?

			Page	35
1	A. Yes	5.		
2	Q. Die	d Mr. Hock indeed design this		
3	proposed transfer	r station?		
4	A. He	had a team that we worked with		
5	to put the entire	e design together. It wasn't		
6	only him, but the	ere were others involved.		
7	Q. Wor	uld it be fair to say that he		
8	was the principal	l designer of the transfer		
9	station?			
10	A. Yes	5.		
11	Q. And	d one of the design elements of		
12	the transfer sta	tion was the through-put capacity	,	
13	correct?			
14	A. Yes	5.		
15	Q. And	d Mr. Hock indeed designed the		
16	through-put capa	city of this transfer station,		
17	correct?			
18	A. Yes	5.		
19	Q. And	d he testified with respect to		
20	that through-put	capacity at the citing hearings,		
21	correct?			
22	A. Yes	5.		
23	Q. Oka	ay. And you attended those citin	g	
24	hearings which to	ook place on two days in March		

	Page 36
1	of 2015 and two days in May of 2015, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. When was the design of the solid
4	waste transfer station completed?
5	A. It was in 2014, towards the fall of
6	2014. I don't know the exact date. There were
7	multiple designs and pieces that we were going
8	through during that time period.
9	Q. Who, in addition to yourself, from
10	ERDS was involved in these discussions with CEC
11	to design the transfer station?
12	A. There was nobody else.
13	Q. It was just you?
14	A. It was just myself.
15	Q. Did you participate in any of the
16	conversations with Will County with respect to
17	a possible host agreement?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Were you the person principally
20	responsible at ERDS to have those discussions
21	with Will County?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Were you the only person to have
24	those discussions?

January 12, 2016

Page 37 1 Α. I -- yes. There were others 2 there. I mean, not just -- I mean -- but yes, 3 I was the only one that had those discussions. 4 That was my role. 5 And was a host agreement signed 6 by ERDS with Will County? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. And that was a written agreement? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And that agreement provided for the Ο. 11 payment of a host fee to Will County for the waste 12 that was brought to the Moen Transfer Station? 13 Α. That, and among other things that 14 were in there, yes. 15 And at what volume or at what level 16 were host fee payments going to be due to Will 17 County? 18 Α. Well, there were two -- there's two 19 different scenarios into which that took place, 20 one of which was as long as we continue with the 2.1 host agreement for -- with Rockdale as a no change 22 for the garbage and pursuant of their host 23 agreement, there would -- there would -- it would 24 start at a certain -- at a zero tonnage and that

January 12, 2016

Page 38 1 would go up from there if we -- well, hold on. 2 Let me think that through. 3 If we didn't have the host 4 agreement, if we weren't hauling the garbage for 5 free in Rockdale for their host agreement, it would start at zero. If we did have the host 6 7 agreement and we were hauling the garbage for 8 free over the next 20 years with Rockdale, then 9 it would kick in after 600 tons, the host fee would 10 start after we reached 600 tons, everything above that would be paid a host fee. 11 12 And what was the amount of the host Q. 13 fee to be paid to Will County? I believe it's 45 cents. 14 Α. 15 In the event there was no host 0. 16 agreement with the village of Rockdale which 17 provided for free disposal, then the obligation 18 to pay the host fee to the county would have 19 kicked in for the first ton of waste coming into 2.0 the transfer station? 2.1 I'd have to look at it to see Α. 22 exactly, but I believe that's correct. I'd have 23 to take a look at the actual host agreement, but 24 I believe that's what we agreed to.

		Page 39
1	Q.	Did ERDS enter into a host agreement
2	with the villa	age of Rockdale?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Was that a written agreement?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Was it signed by both parties?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	When was it signed?
9		Was it signed before the host
10	agreement with	n Will County was signed?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	How much before or how long before
13	approximately	?
14	Α.	About nine months.
15	Q.	About nine months, you said?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	So at the time the host community
18	agreement was	signed with Will County, the
19	agreement with	n Rockdale was already in place?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	So at that time there would not
22	be any possib	ility that that first scenario
23	you identified	d, that is, the lack of any agreement
24	with Rockdale	, meaning that the county would

January 12, 2016

Page 40 1 begin to collect host fees on the first ton 2 of waste coming into the transfer station, 3 correct? 4 Α. I'm sorry. Say that one more 5 time. 6 0. Because you had the agreement 7 with Rockdale in place at the time the county's 8 host agreement was signed, there would not be 9 any situation in which the county could expect 10 to receive host fees with the first ton of waste coming into the Moen Transfer Station? 11 12 Yeah. And there -- yes. Α. 13 purpose of that was because the cost of the 14 free garbage service was so great that to add 15 on an additional greater fee from zero, it 16 would have -- we would be unsuccessful fulfilling 17 our agreement with Rockdale. 18 So the only way the county would Q. 19 ever receive any host fee from the proposed 20 transfer station would be if the through-put 2.1 to that transfer station exceeded 600 tons per 22 day? 23 Α. No. 24 What would be the other scenario Q.

January 12, 2016

Page 41 1 in which the county would receive host fees 2 without that 600-ton per day threshold? 3 Α. They received a \$5,000 annual payment through ERDS from when it is approved. 4 5 And you're characterizing that 0. \$5,000 payment as a host fee to the county? 6 7 Α. I would con- -- I would consider 8 it that because it's part of our host agreement 9 and it's a fee paid through the host agreement. 10 Other than that \$5,000 annual 11 payment, Will County would not receive any monies 12 from ERDS for this proposed transfer station 13 unless the through-put to the Moen transfer 14 station exceeded 600 tons per day in any given 15 year? 16 They will receive host fees Α. No. 17 through us transferring waste from Moen Transfer 18 Station to the Prairie View landfill. They will 19 receive host fees out of that. 20 But those host fees are paid by the 2.1 operator of the Prairie View landfill and not by 22 ERDS, correct? 23 Α. No. Because I pay those host fees

when I pay to dispose of garbage there.

24

January 12, 2016

Page 42 1 include that into my cost. 2 But you make that payment to the 0. 3 operator of the Prairie View landfill, not to 4 Will County; is that correct? 5 I make that payment to them, but 6 that is part of my cost. So my cost to dispose 7 there is a partial of a host fee. 8 Q. But the host agreement you have 9 with Will County does not require ERDS to pay 10 a host fee to Will County for waste that ERDS 11 sends to the Prairie View landfill, correct? 12 We show -- in our host agreement Α. 13 with Will County, we have a preferred disposal 14 site of the Prairie View landfill, which is owned 15 by Will County. Through that, us taking what volume of waste from the Moen Transfer Station 16 17 to Prairie View, Will County will receive a host 18 fee. 19 0. And that fee will be paid to Will 20 County by the operator of the Prairie View 2.1 landfill? 22 Α. Through our payment to the operator, 23 yes. 24 Q. Other than the \$5,000 annual

	Page 43
1	payment
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q and whatever payments the
4	Prairie View landfill may make to Will County
5	pursuant to its agreement with Will County, the
6	only host fee payments that ERDS will make to
7	Will County are payments based on waste coming
8	into the transfer station in excess of 600 tons
9	per day; is that correct?
10	A. Along with the payment of unless
11	we do not haul the village of Rockdale for free
12	for the 20 years, but when that 20 years comes
13	up, then we will start running those host fees.
14	So there is host fees that are to be paid to the
15	county.
16	Q. Now Mr. Hock designed this transfer
17	station with a through-put capacity of at least
18	600 tons per day, correct?
19	A. The capacity of at least 600 tons
20	per day, correct.
21	Q. And he designed that capacity
22	without any limit or maximum capacity; is that
23	right?
24	A. That is correct, yes.

Page 44

1	Q. So that Mr. Hock's design of the
2	waste transfer station is consistent with the
3	threshold 600-ton per day limit set out in the
4	Will County host agreement, correct?
5	A. We wanted to see through it that
6	if we reached 600-ton a day that that the
7	transfer station had the capacity to have 600
8	tons a day if it needed to be paid to the county.
9	Q. In fact, he designed a solid waste
10	transfer station whose through-put capacity was
11	700 tons per day, correct?
12	A. I thought it was 600 tons per day.
13	Q. Well, 600 was the minimum, but he
14	also testified that 700 tons per day was also the
15	through-put capacity for his designed transfer
16	station, didn't he?
17	A. I believe so.
18	Q. And Mr. Hock also stated that ERDS
19	was requesting approval from the Village of
20	Rockdale to accept a minimum of 600 tons per day
21	with no cap, right?
22	MR. MUELLER: Objection, compound
23	question and misstates the record.
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.

		Page 4	45
1	I'm kind of confused too.		
2	Mr. Moran, could you please		
3	rephrase?		
4	MR. MORAN: Sure.		
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank		
6	you.		
7	BY MR. MORAN:		
8	Q. Mr. Ipema, did Mr. Hock state at		
9	the public hearing before Rockdale that ERDS		
10	was requesting approval from Rockdale for the		
11	transfer station to accept a minimum of 600 tons		
12	per day with no cap?		
13	MR. MUELLER: Same objection.		
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes,		
15	sustained.		
16	Could you shorten up the		
17	question a little, Mr. Moran?		
18	BY MR. MORAN:		
19	Q. Did ERDS request approval from		
20	Rockdale to accept the minimum of 600 tons per		
21	day with no capacity?		
22	A. We did not ask for a cap, no.		
23	Q. Did you request approval to accept		
24	a minimum of 600 tons per day with no cap?		

·	Page 46
1	MR. MUELLER: Asked and
2	answered.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll
4	allow it. Overruled.
5	BY MR. MORAN:
6	Q. You answered the second part of the
7	question, but you didn't answer the first part of
8	the question.
9	A. I don't recall about the 600, if
10	that was a minimum. I do know that we did not
11	have a capacity limit that we requested in our
12	application.
13	Q. Did Mr. Hock state anything during
14	the hearings that was inaccurate?
15	A. I would have to look back to see.
16	Is there anything in particular that you're
17	referring to?
18	Q. Well, just the
19	A. All of it?
20	Q question I just asked came
21	directly from what Mr. Hock testified about and
22	what he said at the hearing, which was ERDS was
23	requesting approval for Rockdale to accept a minimum
24	of 600 tons per day with no cap?

```
Page 47
 1
                  MR. MUELLER: I'm going to
 2
         object. That's not what Mr. Hock --
 3
     BY MR. MORAN:
 4
                   Is that statement wrong?
            0.
 5
                  MR. MUELLER: I'm going to object
 6
         because that misstates Mr. Hock's testimony.
 7
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
         Mr. Moran?
 8
 9
                  MR. MORAN: Well, it doesn't
10
         misstate his testimony, but I don't want
11
         to go through with this witness the
12
         transcript of what Mr. Hock said.
13
                        Based upon Mr. Ipema's
14
         presence during the course of that hearing,
15
         if there was something that Mr. Hock
16
         incorrectly stated, that's fine, he can
17
         say it.
18
                       Now, I have another question
19
         for him?
2.0
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
2.1
         Proceed.
22
     BY MR. MORAN:
23
            Q.
                   Can I have an answer to my last
24
     question?
```

	Page 48
1	A. What was your question? I'm sorry.
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you
3	want me to have the court reporter read it
4	back?
5	MR. MORAN: Would you? Yes. Let's
6	have the court reporter read it back.
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Lori,
8	would you read it back?
9	(Whereupon, the requested
10	portion of the record was
11	read accordingly.)
12	BY THE WITNESS:
13	A. ERDS did not ask for a cap in the
14	application. The minimum amount I'm not I
15	don't think we asked for a minimum amount.
16	BY MR. MORAN:
17	Q. So what you're saying is you don't
18	recall that Mr. Hock indicated that the request
19	was for a minimum of 600 tons per day?
20	A. I don't recall what the do you
21	mind if I get my water?
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
23	That's fine.
24	MR. MUELLER: Let me interpose

January 12, 2016

Page 49 1 an objection. Mr. Hock's testimony is 2 in the record. It will speak for itself. 3 Asking the witness whether he remembers 4 the particulars of the testimony is really 5 irrelevant. 6 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 7 I will allow Mr. Moran a little leeway, but 8 your objection is noted on the record. BY MR. MORAN: 9 10 Mr. Ipema, in fact, what Mr. Hock Ο. 11 also made a point of emphasizing was that ERDS 12 was requesting the flexibility to accept an amount 13 of waste that was consistent and allowed by 14 operational standards and by permit conditions, 15 isn't that what he said? 16 Yes. And what he said, if I may Α. 17 clarify that, is with our intended volume or the 18 waste accepted of 200, we would like a flexibility 19 above that so that it would help us in operating the needs of the service area. 20 2.1 And I believe the discussion included Q. 22 amounts that were 600 tons per day and at higher 23 volumes at well up to 2,200 tons per day, correct? 24 Α. I know that there were discussions

	Page 50
1	on that of whether it could have a capacity to
2	handle that, but the anticipated actual volume
3	or accepted waste was continually said to be
4	significantly less than those numbers.
5	Q. Mr. Hock also indicated that ERDS
6	should not be arbitrarily confined with a
7	specific number for the through-put; is that
8	correct?
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. And Rockdale indeed approved the
11	request for this transfer station to accept 600
12	tons per day?
13	A. I believe they approved it for 300
14	tons a day.
15	Q. And didn't they also include a
16	condition which said that if ERDS asked permission
17	to accept 600 tons per day it would be granted?
18	A. I believe it's in there after we
19	would show that there was a need and that we
20	could handle it. I think that's in there, but
21	it was approved for 300 tons a day initially.
22	Q. And Mr. Hock was authorized to
23	testify on behalf of ERDS at the citing hearing?
24	A. Yes.

	Page 51
1	MR. MORAN: Thank you, Mr. Ipema.
2	Nothing further.
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
4	you, Mr. Moran.
5	County?
6	MR. HELSTEN: Yes. Thank you,
7	Mr. Halloran.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	by Mr. Helsten
10	Q. I have several questions, Jay. I'm
11	a little bit confused.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. So it's your testimony today that
14	the request for citing approval that you filed
15	with the village did not ask for a cap or a
16	ceiling on the amount of waste you could accept
17	at any given day, correct?
18	A. Correct. Because I looked at other
19	facilities and I did not see other facilities in
20	the area that also have caps. I seen that and
21	I was watching the most recent application that
22	came up in Round Lake and they didn't put in a cap,
23	but it was imposed after.
24	Q. Okay. That said, you heard your

	Page 52
1	dad's testimony.
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q. You sat here today. You were present
4	in the room and you heard your dad's testimony,
5	correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you agree with your dad's
8	testimony?
9	A. Is there a specific part? I mean,
10	yes, I do.
11	Q. That's a fair question. Here's
12	the specific question I have for you. Does ERDS
13	intend for there to be a cap or a ceiling on the
14	amount of waste that can be actually accepted at
15	the facility on any given day?
16	A. Did we intend to?
17	Q. Do you?
18	A. Do I intend to?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. Do I? Yes, because that's what
21	we're that's what was put into the conditions
22	of
23	Q. And just so I'm clear, what is that
24	cap that you intend?

		Page 53
1	Α.	What is the cap that we intend?
2	Q.	Yes.
3	Α.	I would say at least 600 tons
4	because that	's what we demonstrated that we could
5	handle.	
6	Q.	Okay.
7	Α.	In a safe
8		MR. HELSTEN: Nothing further.
9		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Village,
10	any ques	tions?
11		MR. WALSH: No.
12		HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
13		Mr. Mueller?
14	С	ROSS-EXAMINATION
15		by Mr. Mueller
16	Q.	Jay, let's talk about volume and
17	capacity. W	hat's your understanding of volume?
18	А.	Volume would be the amount of waste
19	that actuall	y comes into the facility.
20	Q.	And what is ERDS's intent as to daily
21	volume at th	is facility?
22	Α.	About an average of 200 tons a day.
23	Q.	Has that number ever changed?
24	Α.	No.

	Page 54
1	Q. And what's your understanding of
2	capacity?
3	A. Capacity is the amount that a
4	waste transfer station can actually handle without
5	being having garbage out the doors, having
6	trucks backed up on the street, being able to
7	operate in a safe and efficient manner.
8	Q. And you testified that Mr. Hock, in
9	your opinion, demonstrated that the facility had
10	a theoretical safe capacity of 600 tons per day at
11	least?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. If your intended volume is only
14	200 tons per day, why are you interested in a
15	theoretical maximum safe capacity that might be
16	larger?
17	A. For the purpose of flexibility.
18	There's times where like just recently over the
19	holidays you're combining a few days of service
20	into one because of a day that's not that you
21	did not go out to pick up the garbage. So your
22	volume increases on those particular days because
23	you're picking up double the amount of waste.

So you need that flexibility to be able to handle

24

		Page 55
1	that in a day.	
2	Q. Okay. So the absence of a volume	
3	restriction gives you some flexibility	
4	operationally?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. But does that change your intended	
7	volume?	
8	A. No.	
9	Q. And that intended volume again has	
LO	been and what is what number?	
L1	A. An average of 200 tons per day.	
12	Q. Now, when you talked to the county	
L3	about a secondary host agreement, why even have	
L 4	that discussion with the county?	
L5	A. Because it is their solid waste	
16	plan.	
L7	Q. Okay. And what was the county's	
L8	primary concern in those discussions and as	
L 9	reflected in the secondary host agreement?	
20	MR. HELSTEN: You are asking	
21	for Jay's understanding?	
22	MR. MUELLER: Your understand	
23	of what the county's primary	
24	MR. HELSTEN: Not what the	

	Page 56
1	county's concern is, because Jay
2	unless he's a mind reader can't
3	testify as to what the county's concern
4	was, but he can testify as to what his
5	understanding was based upon his
6	participation in those negotiations.
7	MR. MUELLER: Fair objection.
8	I will rephrase.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
10	you, Mr. Mueller.
11	BY MR. MUELLER:
12	Q. What was your understanding of
13	the county's primary concern in the host agreement
14	discussions?
15	A. The county's primary concern was that
16	the waste went to Prairie View landfill.
17	Q. And that concern was accommodated in
18	the final host agreement?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Why was there even, if you know, a
21	discussion about 600 tons per day?
22	A. The reason the discussion of
23	the 600 tons per day was because of our cost
24	of hauling the garbage for Rockdale, we have

January 12, 2016

Page 57 1 we would need to be able to afford to do that. 2 So we asked for not having 600 a day. They 3 originally asked for -- I think it was a much 4 higher number than 600 tons a day. We asked 5 for that. I'm sorry. We asked for it because of the cost that it takes to haul -- to fulfill 6 7 our obligation to Rockdale. 8 Q. So if I understand it, there was 9 a discussion about would there'd be some point 10 where you'd be making enough money on the 11 operation to afford a host fee to the county? 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. And that was determined to be what 14 number? 15 Α. 600 tons. 16 Did you ever intend to get to 600 Q. 17 tons per day? 18 Α. No. 19 0. Now, when you met with Mr. Hock 20 and his team initially, what were your design 2.1 instructions to him? 22 We had -- my design instructions Α. 23 to him is that we had a piece of property and 24 where it was located, the size of the property,

	Page	58
1	we needed to design a facility that could fit	
2	on that property and operate safely.	
3	Q. So did he design for a volume or	
4	for a space?	
5	A. For a space.	
6	Q. And after his design was done,	
7	what did he tell you the facility could safely	
8	manage?	
9	A. Above 200 tons per day.	
10	Q. And Mr. Hock ran a 200-ton per day	
11	scenario through-put scenario in the application,	
12	correct?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. And then he also ran a 600-ton per	
15	day through-put scenario in the application?	
16	A. Correct.	
17	Q. Why did he even run the 600-ton per	
18	day scenario if you intended to do 200 tons per	
19	day?	
20	A. To see if we could do it safely	
21	because it was also worded in the host agreement	
22	with Will County.	
23	Q. You never told Mr. Hock to design	
24	a 600-ton per day facility, did you?	

Page 5	59
A. No.	
Q. It turned out that in his opinion,	
the design for that space could accommodate that	
volume, right?	
A. Correct.	
Q. And your belief is that now gives	
you operational flexibility in a margin of safety?	
A. Yes.	
Q. So if you only intended a volume	
of 200 tons per day, why would you request that	
the county or that the village of Rockdale not	
impose a volume restriction?	
A. Well, so that we would have the	
flexibility to operate to handle the waste	
that came to us.	
Q. Such as the scenario you just	
testified about with regard to the holidays?	
A. Correct.	
Q. Where you've got a double day?	
A. Correct.	
Q. And is ERDS appealing the village's	
maximum volume restriction of 300 tons per day?	
A. No.	
Q. And why aren't you appealing that?	
	Q. It turned out that in his opinion, the design for that space could accommodate that volume, right? A. Correct. Q. And your belief is that now gives you operational flexibility in a margin of safety? A. Yes. Q. So if you only intended a volume of 200 tons per day, why would you request that the county or that the village of Rockdale not impose a volume restriction? A. Well, so that we would have the flexibility to operate to handle the waste that came to us. Q. Such as the scenario you just testified about with regard to the holidays? A. Correct. Q. Where you've got a double day? A. Correct. Q. And is ERDS appealing the village's maximum volume restriction of 300 tons per day? A. No.

	Page 60
1	A. Because we feel that that's adequate
2	to handle what we the waste that we intend to
3	take in on a daily basis.
4	Q. And how much volume does ERDS control
5	at the present time on a daily basis?
6	A. Around 125 tons per day.
7	Q. So you folks would have to actually
8	significantly expand your business to even get to
9	the intended 200 tons per day?
LO	A. Correct.
L1	MR. MUELLER: That's all I have,
L2	Mr. Ipema. Thank you.
L3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
L 4	you.
L 5	Mr. Moran?
L 6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
L7	by Mr. Moran
18	Q. Mr. Ipema, ERDS at no point asked
L 9	Rockdale to approve a through-put limited to an
20	average of 200 tons per day, did it?
21	A. No.
22	MR. MORAN: Mr. Hearing Officer,
23	I have an exhibit from the Rockdale record,
24	which has already been identified. Would

```
Page 61
 1
         you want it identified for this hearing as
 2
         well or can I simply reference the exhibit
 3
         as it was presented to Rockdale?
 4
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think
 5
         just referencing. If there's just one,
 6
         that would be fine. Thank you.
 7
                  MR. MORAN: May I approach the
 8
         witness?
 9
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                              Yes,
10
         you may.
11
                  MR. MORAN:
                               Thank you.
12
                        (Document previously marked
13
                         as Hock PowerPoint Exhibit
                        No. 7 for identification.)
14
15
     BY MR. MORAN:
16
                   Mr. Ipema, I'm going to show you
            0.
17
     what was marked as Exhibit 7. It was demonstrative
18
     evidence before the village of Rockdale.
                                                It was
19
     the PowerPoint presentation that Mr. Hock used as
20
     a basis or support for his testimony.
2.1
                        I'm going to address your
22
     attention to what I think is Page 17 of Exhibit 7.
23
     It actually doesn't have a number on it, but if
24
     you look at the numbers that are provided, they're
```

January 12, 2016

Page 62 1 all even numbers. So it follows after 16. So I'm 2 assuming it's 17. 3 At any event, as I said, it is 4 Page 17 of this Exhibit 7. It's the top slide, 5 the top half of the page, which is entitled, 6 "Summary." It says, "The Proposed MTS." 7 the Moen Transfer Station? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And this identifies the through-put 10 capacity or volumes that would be proposed --Uh-huh. 11 Α. 12 Q. -- for the Moen Transfer Station. 13 Could you read through those bullet points for 14 us? 15 MR. MUELLER: Now, I'm going to 16 object because I think it's beyond the 17 scope of direct. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 19 Mr. Moran? 20 MR. MORAN: Well, this is part 2.1 of Mr. Hock's testimony, what he presented. 22 It deals with both capacity and volumes for 23 the Moen Transfer Station. It was certainly 24 what I covered not only on direct, but

	Page 63
1	what was covered
2	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
3	I agree. Overruled. You may proceed.
4	BY THE WITNESS:
5	A. And what is your question regarding?
6	BY MR. MORAN:
7	Q. My question is does this slide
8	accurately describe both the capacity and volume
9	that was proposed for the Moen Transfer Station?
10	MR. MUELLER: I'm going to object
11	to the use of the terms capacity and volume.
12	If he wants to ask about the slide without
13	characterizing what it is, I don't have a
14	problem with that.
15	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
16	Mr. Moran?
17	MR. MORAN: Well, I think certainly
18	he can address whether this indeed describes
19	both the capacity and the volume proposed
20	for the Moen Transfer Station.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree.
22	Overruled.
23	BY THE WITNESS:
24	A. What I if you're asking me what

January 12, 2016

Page 64 1 I -- what do I think that this means? Is that 2 what you're asking me? 3 BY MR. MORAN: 4 I'm asking you does this 0. No. 5 properly describe the capacity and the volume 6 that was proposed for the Moen Transfer Station? 7 It describes that the transfer Α. 8 had a station capacity of 600 tons and was readily 9 available to manage a volume of 200 tons. 10 Well, then if I could direct your Ο. 11 attention to the specific language here --12 Uh-huh. Α. 13 -- it says in the third bullet Ο. 14 point can manage acceptance volumes greater than 15 600 tons per day under many scenarios. 16 a correct statement? 17 Α. Correct. Because the scenarios 18 that were brought up was our flexibility of hours 19 in different scenarios. It could manage more 20 than 600, but the volume that we anticipate is 200 tons. So it could -- I'd rather handle --2.1 22 be able to safely handle more than 200 tons 23 because we want to operate the facility in a 24 safe manner. So if we can handle more, like

January 12, 2016

Page 65 1 600 tons, if we can handle it, but we're only taking 200, I feel that's a lot safer scenario 2 3 than the alternative than taking a facility 4 that takes 1,800 tons a day, but only has a 5 capacity of 1,200 tons a day. 6 And 600 tons per day was the 0. 7 threshold that was included in the host agreement 8 with Will County, correct? 9 Α. I'm sorry. Say that again. 10 And 600 tons per day, which was Ο. 11 the threshold that was put in the host agreement 12 with Will County that would trigger an obligation 13 to pay host fees to the county, correct? 14 Α. In the host agreement, correct. 15 But what you're telling us now it Q. was never the intent of ERDS to ever reach 600 16 17 tons per day even though it was put in the agreement 18 with Will County? 19 The reason that the 600 tons a day Α. 20

A. The reason that the 600 tons a day with the county was put in there was because of the cost of the host -- the primary host agreement with Rockdale because at -- once the 200 -- once we stopped -- once the 20 years is over with Rockdale, then we will continue on with the

2.1

22

23

24

	Page 66
1	host fee to the county.
2	Q. Is it accurate to say it was never
3	ERDS's intent to ever to accept 600 tons per day
4	at this facility?
5	A. I'm sorry. Say that again.
6	Q. Was it ERDS's intent that the
7	facility would never accept 600 tons per day?
8	A. Was it our
9	Q. It was never ERDS's intent to ever
LO	realistically accept 600 tons per day?
L1	A. The 600 like I said, the 600
L2	tons a day was because of the host agreement
13	that we had with the county. The intent of the
L 4	transfer station was to handle the volume of
L5	the garbage that we were going to take. The
L 6	county never asked us what we intended to take.
L7	Q. And that would include amounts up
18	to and in excess of 600 tons per day, correct?
L 9	A. Correct.
20	MR. MORAN: Thank you.
21	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
22	Mr. Helsten?
23	
24	

	Page 67
1	RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	by Mr. Helsten
3	Q. Mr. Ipema, after Mr. Mueller's
4	cross-examination and Mr. Moran's redirect,
5	I'm even more confused. I'm going to try and
6	clarify.
7	So Mr. Hock told you the
8	facility could safely manage 200 tons per day;
9	is that correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Mueller asked you
12	what your intended volume was at the facility
13	and you said an average of 200 tons per day,
14	correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Is that an average in your
17	is it your understanding that average is a weekly
18	average, a monthly average, an annual average?
19	A. That was never defined what that
20	average would be.
21	Q. What's your understanding of what it
22	was?
23	A. What's my understanding of what it
24	would be?

	Page 68
1	Q. Yes.
2	A. It could be a weekly average.
3	Q. Okay. That being so it's an
4	average of 200 tons per day?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Let me ask you this: Let's say
7	for four days, you received zero waste
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q and on the fifth, you received
10	1,000 tons. Do you consider that then to be an
11	average of 200 tons per day?
12	A. Calculating it, yes. Is that going
13	to be the scenario? No.
14	Q. Why isn't that that's what I'm
15	getting at. That's exactly what I'm getting at.
16	Thank you. Why isn't that going to be the scenario?
17	Tell me why.
18	A. Because we operate every day of the
19	week.
20	Q. Why wouldn't why couldn't you
21	take the 1,000 then under your testimony on the
22	fifth day since it averages 200 tons per day?
23	A. Because we don't generate 1,000 tons
24	on one day.

January 12, 2016

Page 69 1 If you did? 0. 2 Α. But we don't. 3 Q. If you did, would you -- is it your -- if you could generate -- capture 1,000 4 5 tons per day, is it your intention to accept 6 it at this facility? 7 Α. No. All of it? No. 8 Q. Okay. What is your intention? 9 That's what I'm confused about. Our intention is to safely handle 10 the amount of garbage we haul, which would be an 11 average of 200 tons per day. So right now, we 12 13 handle currently about 125 tons a day. In the service area of the Moen transfer, we would 14 15 continue to do that, but as, like, in our -- in our hearing, there's still routes that are by 16 17 Prairie View. So some of that garbage is still 18 going to go directly to Prairie View because 19 we're not going to bring it all the way back up 20 to the transfer station on the way back. So our 2.1 average will be consistently 200 tons a day. 22 Q. Okay. You had told Mr. Mueller, 23

in response to one of his questions, as I recall, that if you increased your average volume -- daily

24

	Page 70
1	volume to 200 tons per day, that would be a
2	significant increase, correct? Is that what
3	you said?
4	A. From where we're at now, yeah.
5	Q. Likewise, then 300 tons a day
6	would be an even more significant increase, correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And 400 would be even more
9	significant?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And 500 would be even more
12	significant, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. 600 would be even more significant?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Following the line of questioning
17	that Mr. Mueller and Mr. Moran took you through,
18	just so I'm clear, if you could capture an average
19	of 600 tons per day in the market, is it your
20	intention to take that 600 tons per day into this
21	facility?
22	A. Not only to this facility, no.
23	Q. And why is that?
24	A. Because we have some routes that

January 12, 2016

Page 71 1 are by other facilities that are outside the Moen Transfer Station service area. 2 3 0. Well, is it only then -- is it 4 not your intention simply because it's more 5 efficient from -- cost efficient from a transport 6 point of view to take it directly to Prairie View? 8 Α. Only those routes that -- from an efficiency standpoint that are close to there, 9 10 yes. 11 Okay. But if it was more efficient Q. 12 to take that waste -- all of that 600 tons per day percent average -- into the Moen Transfer Station, 13 is it your -- would it be your intention to take 14 15 it into the Moen station? 16 MR. MUELLER: Objection. That's 17 a hypothetical and it assumes facts not in evidence. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. 2.0 Mr. Helsten? 2.1 I think I can MR. HELSTEN: No. 22 ask a hypothetical. That's the whole idea 23 of a hypothetical and I think we've been 24 all over that in Mr. Moran's direct and

```
Page 72
 1
         Mr. Mueller's cross. I think Mr. Mueller
 2
         opened the door even more so with his
 3
         cross.
 4
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
 5
         Overruled. You may answer that, Mr. Ipema,
 6
         if you can answer.
     BY THE WITNESS:
 7
 8
                   All right. One more time on the
            Α.
 9
     question.
                  MR. HELSTEN: Could you read it
10
11
         back, please?
12
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Lori,
13
         could you read it back?
14
                        (Whereupon, the requested
15
                         portion of the record was
16
                         read accordingly.)
17
     BY THE WITNESS:
18
            Α.
                   Only if it was okay by the Village
19
     of Rockdale.
20
     BY MR. HELSTEN:
2.1
                   Pursuant to the special conditions
            Q.
22
     that the village has imposed, correct?
23
            Α.
                   Correct.
24
                    MR. HELSTEN:
                                  Thank you.
```

	Page 73
1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
2	you.
3	Mr. Walsh and Mr. Stiff?
4	MR. WALSH: No.
5	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
6	Mr. Mueller?
7	RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	by Mr. Mueller
9	Q. At the very end of Mr. Moran's
10	redirect, he asked you a question that sounded
11	like your intent is to take more than 200 tons
12	per day if that volume is available to you. I
13	just want to clarify that. Is that your intent?
14	A. To take more than the 200 tons?
15	Q. Yes.
16	A. No.
17	Q. So if the volume is available,
18	you still you're not going to take it? You'd
19	be content with 200?
20	A. Well, no. I would take it. If
21	it's out there and there was a need for it, yes,
22	
0.0	we would. But right now, we are proposing the
23	we would. But right now, we are proposing the 200 tons.

•		Page 74
1	you?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. It's a fairly developed area?	
4	A. Uh-huh.	
5	Q. Do you foresee a scenario whereby	
6	the volumes you control could dramatically	
7	increase?	
8	MR. MORAN: Objection, relevance.	
9	His foresight on this issues is not germane	
10	to any issue we have here.	
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.	
12	Is there any way you could rephrase that,	
13	Mr. Mueller?	
14	MR. MUELLER: I'm struggling.	
15	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Take	
16	your time.	
17	MR. MUELLER: I have nothing	
18	further.	
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank	
20	you.	
21	Mr. Moran?	
22	MR. MORAN: Nothing further. Thank	
23	you.	
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank	

```
Page 75
 1
         you.
 2
                       Sir, you may step down.
                                                 Thank
 3
         you so much.
 4
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Thank you.
 5
                        (Witness excused.)
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
 6
                                              A 1 1
 7
                We can go off the record for a
 8
         minute.
 9
                        (Whereupon, a discussion
                        was had off the record.)
10
11
                  HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
                                              We're
12
     back on the record.
13
                       We have been discussing the
     stature decision deadline and post-hearing briefs.
14
15
     Mr. Mueller has agreed to file an additional
     waiver of the stature decision deadline out to
16
17
     April 21, 2016, and from that number, we've decided
18
     that February 11, 2016, opening briefs are due.
19
     March 3, 2016, responses are due. March 14, 2016,
20
     any replies are due. I've also set public comment
2.1
     are due to be filed on or before February 5th.
22
     parties have all agreed to reserve their closing
23
     argument for post-hearing briefs.
24
                       I think that's it. I appreciate
```

```
Page 76
     everybody's civility again. You guys are always
 1
     great to work with and safe travels. Thank you.
 2
                         (Whereupon, the above-entitled
 3
                         proceedings were adjourned.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 77
 1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
                             SS.
 3
     COUNTY OF C O O K
 4
 5
 6
                        I, LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR,
 7
     do hereby state that I am a court reporter doing
 8
     business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook,
 9
     and State of Illinois; that I reported by means
10
     of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the
11
     foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true
12
     and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
     taken as aforesaid.
13
14
15
16
17
                            Lori Ann Asauskas, CSR, RPR.
18
                            Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
```

January 12, 2016

	20.45.7.16.22	51 01 50 11 15	7.6.10.21	22 20 20 14 22 24
A	38:4,5,7,16,23	51:21 58:11,15	7:6,19,21	33:20 38:14,22,24
a.m 1:22 6:9	39:1,4,10,18,19	appreciate 10:3	authorized 8:16	44:17 49:21 50:13
able 23:21 54:6,24	39:23 40:6,8,17	75:24	16:12 50:22	50:18
57:1 64:22	41:8,9 42:8,12	approach 61:7	available 64:9	better 16:21
above-entitled 76:3	43:5 44:4 55:13	approval 5:18 8:15	73:12,17	beyond 62:16
absence 28:24 55:2	55:19 56:13,18	23:9 29:11,19	Avenue 1:21 2:6	bit 8:2 51:11
accept 23:10 25:1	58:21 65:7,11,14	30:7,13 44:19	15:19 17:19 34:12	Black 3:8
29:20 30:1 44:20	65:17,21 66:12	45:10,19,23 46:23	average 21:11 27:5	board 1:1,5,11,20
45:11,20,23 46:23	alleged 8:19	51:14	29:20 30:1 53:22	2:2 3:11 5:4,7,11
49:12 50:11,17	alleges 10:17	approve 22:20,24	55:11 60:20 67:13	5:15,21 6:11,17
51:16 66:3,7,10	Allen 33:10	29:24 60:19	67:16,17,18,18,18	8:3,3,12,16 11:17
69:5	allow 46:4 49:7	approved 24:24	67:20 68:2,4,11	Board's 6:14
acceptance 64:14	allowed 49:13	41:4 50:10,13,21	69:12,21,24 70:18	Box 2:7
accepted 20:24	alternative 65:3	approximately 6:9	71:13	Brad 5:2
49:18 50:3 52:14	amendment 8:20	16:4 39:13	averages 68:22	BRADLEY 1:17
accidents 9:14	amount 19:21 21:2	April 75:17	aware 9:23 20:13	2:4
accommodate 9:4	38:12 48:14,15	arbitrarily 50:6	31:2	brief 8:8
19:21 59:3	49:12 51:16 52:14	area 9:5,13 49:20	AYERS 3:7	briefing 6:18
accommodated	53:18 54:3,23	51:20 69:14 71:2		briefs 6:21 11:16
56:17	69:11	73:24 74:3	$\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}}$	75:14,18,23
accurate 23:5	amounts 49:22	argument 75:23	B 4:16	bring 69:19
24:22 66:2	66:17	arguments 12:18	back 21:22 46:15	brought 37:12
accurately 63:8	and- 2:10 3:6	Asauskas 1:18 77:6	48:4,6,8 69:19,20	64:18
Act 5:17,19,23 6:12	Anderson 3:13,20	77:17	72:11,13 75:12	bullet 62:13 64:13
8:17,23	Ann 1:18 77:6,17	asked 7:16 8:1	backed 54:6	business 14:17
actual 38:23 50:2	annual 41:3,10	23:23 24:14 30:23	bad 28:15	33:12 34:7 60:8
add 40:14	42:24 67:18	46:1,20 48:15	Barry 3:21	77:8
addition 11:7 36:9	answer 19:23 20:1	50:16 57:2,3,4,5	based 18:7,7,17,18	<u> </u>
additional 40:15	23:21 46:7 47:23	60:18 66:16 67:11	19:16 20:2 21:7	
75:15	72:5,6	73:10	23:17,24 24:8	C 2:1 3:1 14:6 26:5
address 61:21	answered 46:2,6	asking 23:16 28:11	27:16 43:7 47:13	29:8 33:5 51:8
63:18	anticipate 64:20	28:15,21 49:3	56:5	53:14 60:16 67:1
adequate 60:1	anticipated 50:2	55:20 63:24 64:2	basically 12:6	73:7 77:3
adjourned 76:4	apologize 9:17	64:4	15:15	calculate 19:20
afford 57:1,11	appeal 12:4	assigned 5:4	basis 27:10 60:3,5	Calculating 68:12
aforesaid 77:13	appealing 59:21,24	assistant 7:3,4,6	61:20	calculation 19:20
Agency 31:5	appeals 5:16,22	assumes 71:17	behalf 2:15,21 3:11	calculations 18:17
agree 52:7 63:3,21	Appeared 2:15,21	assuming 62:2	3:17 16:13 22:9	19:15 20:2 23:24
agreed 38:24 75:15	3:11,17	attend 22:4	50:23	24:8
75:22	appears 9:16	attendance 23:17	belief 59:6	call 32:2,5
agreement 19:16	applicant 5:24 11:4	attended 17:20	believe 8:22 9:10	called 14:4 33:3
20:4,5,10,14,16	11:9 12:10	22:8 35:23	9:10,15 18:16	cap 23:11 26:18
20:18,22 21:9,13	application 5:18	attention 61:22	19:15 21:3 22:14	44:21 45:12,22,24
21:20 36:17 37:5	8:20 10:21 15:23	64:11	22:18 24:2 25:3,4	46:24 48:13 51:15
37:8,10,21,23	17:9 46:12 48:14	attorney 2:11 7:4,4	25:10 28:20 30:15	51:22 52:13,24
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Signature Sign					
capabilitics 24:17 City 77:8 Civil 15:21 34:18 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7 consider 10:20 41:7	53:1	35:23 50:23 51:14	confused 45:1	correctly 23:16	33:23 34:9 69:13
capable 27:14 Civil 15:21 34:18 consider 10:20 41:7 56:23 57:6 65:21 CX 4:6,9,10 22:16 27:3,6,13 28:5,6 30:9 35:12 26:16 consistency 11:11 consistency 11:11 <th>capabilities 24:17</th> <th>City 77:8</th> <th>51:11 67:5 69:9</th> <th></th> <th>customarily 31:6</th>	capabilities 24:17	City 77:8	51:11 67:5 69:9		customarily 31:6
Capacity 18:6,12,14	_ -			, ,	
22:16 27:3,6,13 28:5,6 30:9 35:12 26:16 26:16 27:3,6 13 35:16,20 43:17,19 43:21,22 44:7,10 44:15 45:21 46:11 Clark 2:18 Closer 71:9 26:38 11,19 64:5,8 65:5 caps 51:20 combining 54:19	_				
285.6, 30:9 35:12					D
Si:16,20 43:17,19	2 2				D 4:1,5,6,7 14:3,3,6
43:21,22 44:7,10 44:15 45:21 46:11 Cark 2:18 coll 53:17 54:2,3 components 10:18 certain 6:1 9:1 22:24 37:24 centers 11:24 centa 6:19 9:1 22:24 37:24 components 10:18 centa 6:19 9:1 22:24 37:24 components 10:18 compound 44:22 components 10:18 compound 44:22 components 10:18 compound 44:22 conducted 6:18 Concluding 4:14 conditions 49:14 2:8 Concluding 4:14 conditions 49:14 2:8 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 77:8 Chuck 7:3 conducted 6:12 condu	*				
44:15 45:21 46:11	, ,			, ,	dad's 52:1,4,7
Soli					
54:10,15 62:10,22 close 71:9 34:19 21:6,14,20 31:13 69:24 63:8,11,19 64:5,8 closing 75:22 contending 11:8,10 36:16,21 37:6,11 36:16,21 37:6,11 36:16,21 37:6,11 36:16,21 37:6,11 37:17 38:13,18 36:16,21 37:6,11 37:17 38:13,18 36:16,21 37:6,11 37:17 38:13,18 36:16,21 37:6,11 37:17 38:13,18 36:16,21 37:6,11 37:17 38:13,18 36:16,21 37:6,11 37:17 38:13,18 36:16,21 37:6,11 37:17 38:13,18 36:16,21 37:6,11 40:18 41:1,6,11 40:18 41:1,6,11 40:18 41:1,6,11 19:1,44,9,10,13,15 42:4,9,10,13,15 42:24,9,10,13,15 42:17,20 43:4,5,7 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:3,3 21:3,58,21 20:3,3 21:3,58,21 20:3,3 21:3,58,21 20:3,3 21:3,58,21 20:3,3 21:3,58,21 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 20:1,4,5,71 20:1,4,5,71 20:1,4,5,7,1 20:1,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:1,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2 20:3,2,2,2,2				,	·
63:8,11,19 64:5,8 65:5 collect 40:1 combining 54:19 comes 43:12 53:19 comes 43:12 53:19 comment 6:21 75:20 40:11 43:7 comment 6:21 75:20 40:11 43:7 comment 6:21 75:20 comment 6:11:24 centers 11:24 centers 11:24 centers 18:124 certain 6:1 9:1 22:24 37:24 certainly 62:23 63:17 challenge 8:24 challenge 8:24 challenge 8:24 challenge 8:24 changed 27:22 conditions 20:13 55:6 changed 27:22 53:23 challenging 9:24 changed 27:22 53:23 challenging 9:24 changed 27:22 5:32 challenging 9:24 changed 27:22 5:323 challenging 9:24 changed 27:22 5:21 5:18 56:13,13 56:15,17 38:14 40:18 41:1,6 11 37:17 8 8:14 54:4,8 40:9 42:4,9,10,13,15 42:17,20 43:4,5,7 143:15 44:4,8 51:5 56:12,13,18,20 contrain 14:21 community 21:20 continue 37:20 foil 16:4 74:6 control 1:1 2:2 5:4 6:11 60:4 74:6 control 1:1 2:2 5:4 control 1:1 2:2 5:	*				,
65:5 caps 51:20 combining 54:19 comes 43:12 53:19 contentions 11:16 content and 11:1	7			· · · · ·	
caps 51:20 combining 54:19 73:19 33:10,18,24 40:9 day 1:22 12:11,11 12:12 18:16,17,19 cases 6:2 40:11 43:7 continually 50:3 42:17,20 43:4,5,7 20:3,21 16:5 34:23 36:10 24:17,20 43:4,5,7 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 11:12 23:10 24:1 12:12 18:16,17,19 19:5,14,16,18,21 11:12 23:10 24:1 12:12 18:16,17,19 19:5,14,16,18,21 11:12 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 11:12 24:13,9,9 25:1,5 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 21:15 23:10 24:1 22:13,39,20 25:1,5 22:3,2 11:5 22:30:22 22:14 47:21 23:10 continued 3:1 23:10 contraul 3:1 23:12 25:14 57:11 24:17,20 43:4,5,7 20:3,3 21:3,58,11 21:15 23:10 24:1 22:3,3 21:3,58,11 21:15 23:10 24:1 22:13,39,20 25:1,5 22:3,2 59:11 65:8 25:12,14 57:11 22:13,39,20 25:1,5 25:12,14 57:11 22:13,39,92 25:1,5 25:8 26:9,19 27:16,21 28:1,4 22:13,21,2 22:13,18,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 22:13,21,2 22:13,39,92 25:1,5 22:8 26:9,19 27:16,21 28:1,4 22:12,21,2 23:13,16 27:18 22:18,24 22:12,21,2 23:13,18 23:12,24 46:24 24:12,21,24,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 22:1,21 23:14 40:22 24:12,21,24		<u> </u>			
capture 69:4 70:18 case 5:2, 5,14 8:16 case 5:5,5,14 8:16 case 5:2,5,14 8:16 command 5:21 comment 6:21 comment 6:21 community 21:20 delling 26:18 51:16 sold 5:21 community 21:20 and 20:18 and				_	
case 5:5,5,14 8:16 cases 6:2 coming 38:19 40:2 40:11 43:7 comment 6:21 context 24:22 30:22 continually 50:3 continually 50:3 continually 50:3 sq.23 36:10 42:4,9,10,13,15 dat:4,48,51:15 doi:10.44.48,51:16 doi:10.44.48.51:16 community 21:20 39:17 comment 6:21 42:4,9,10,13,15 dat:4,48,51:15 dat:4,48,51:15 doi:10.44.48.51:16 community 21:20 39:17 community 21:20 39:17 commany 7:15 commany 7:15 doi:10.42.22.43.7:24 contain 6:1.9:1 22:24 37:24 completed 21:18,24 dat:11.24 completed 21:18,24 dat:11.24 completed 21:18,24 dat:11.24 completed 21:18,24 dat:11.24 completed 21:18,24 components 10:18 components 10:18 components 10:18 components 10:18 components 10:18 components 10:23 challenging 9:24 challenging 9:24 change 29:1 37:21 55:18 56:13,313 55:65:55:6 56:15,17 concluded 6:18 Concluding 4:14 condition 50:16 changed 27:22 folian 27:22 folian 27:22 folian 27:22 folian 27:23 characterizing 41:5 63:13 characterizing 41:5 dat:14 condition 49:14 52:21 72:21 conducted 6:12 52:21 72:21 conducted 6:12 folian 49:14 52:21 72:21 conducted 6:12 folian 49:14 52:21 72:21 conducted 6:12 folian 49:14 folian 49:14 52:21 72:21 conducted 6:12 folian 49:14 folian 49:14 52:21 72:21 conducted 6:12 folian 49:14	_	U		, , ,	
cases 6:2 40:11 43:7 continually 50:3 42:17,20 43:4,5,7 20:3,3 21:3,5,8,11 CEC 3:21 16:5 34:23 36:10 ceiling 26:18 51:16 55:20 commercial 14:21 continue 37:20 42:17,20 43:4,5,7 43:15 44:4,8 51:5 21:15 23:10 24:1 22:15 23:10 24:1 ceiling 26:18 51:16 52:13 39:17 control 1:1 2:2 5:4 65:12,13,18,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 22:16,21 28:1,4 22:12 37:24 28:12 29:2,12,21 certain 6:1 9:1 36:4 completed 21:18,24 36:16 conversations 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 42:17,20 43:4,5,7 24:13,9,9 25:1,5 22:13,18,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 22:14,3,9,9 25:1,5 22:1,5 28:12,9:2,12,21 23:18,47:14 28:12 29:2,12,21 23:18,47:14 28:12 29:2,12,21 30:2,14 40:22 28:12 29:2,12,21 30:2,14 40:22 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23 40:7 55:17,23	_ -			, ,	
cause 77:11 comment 6:21 continue 37:20 43:15 44:4,8 51:5 21:15 23:10 24:1 CEC 3:21 16:5 34:23 36:10 commercial 14:21 continue 37:20 43:15 44:4,8 51:5 25:13 25:10 24:1 52:13 39:17 contrary 5:19 control 1:1 2:2 5:4 65:12,13,18,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 centers 11:24 company 7:15 6:11 60:4 74:6 65:12,13,18,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 certain 6:1 9:1 34:4 completed 21:18,24 controlled 26:8 controlle	, ,				
CEC 3:21 16:5 34:23 36:10 ceiling 26:18 51:16 52:13 centers 11:24 cents 38:14 certain 6:1 9:1 22:24 37:24 certainly 62:23 63:17 challenge 8:24 challenges 10:23 challenges 10:23 challenging 9:24 challenges 29:1 37:21 55:6 changed 27:22 changed 27:22 53:23 Characterizing 41:5 63:13 CHARLES 2:9 chelsten@hinsha 2:8 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 Chicago 1:3,19 3:4 Confined 50:6 Confined 50:6 Continued 3:1 controlled 2:15 Controlled 2:15 Controlled 2:25 36:14 controlled 2:25 36:16 conversations 17:18 18:2 20:9 36:16 converse 24:7,20 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 conert 11:24 12:2 25:3 26:10 27:11 32:18 47:14 controlled 3:19 concluded 6:18 Concluding 4:14 conditions 49:14 50:25; 5 6:19 58:12 conduct 19:12 conduct 19:12 conduct 19:12 conduct 6:12 19:4 confined 50:6 controlled 2:18 Controlled 2:8 conversations 17:18 18:2 20:9 36:16 course 24:7,20 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 court 6:5 7:16 course 24:7,20 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 court 6:5 7:16 courte 6:5 7:16 courte 6:2 7:16 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 courte 6:2-4 63:1 55:12,14 15:7:11 36:16 courte 6:2 7:16 30:2,14 40:22 course 24:7,20 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 courte 6:5 7:16 course 24:7,20 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 courte 6:5 7:16 cour					
34:23 36:10 commercial 14:21 comtinued 3:1 58:22 59:11 65:8 25:8 26:9,19 ceiling 26:18 51:16 52:13 39:17 control 1:1 2:2 5:4 65:12,13,18,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 centers 11:24 cents 38:14 company 7:15 6:11 60:4 74:6 65:12,13,18,20 27:16,21 28:1,4 certain 6:1 9:1 34:4 compoleted 21:18,24 controlled 26:8 country's 8:9 11:24 40:7 55:17,23 30:2,14 40:22 41:2,14 43:9,18 certain 6:1 9:1 34:4 compoleted 21:18,24 Controlled 26:8 country's 8:9 11:24 42:20 44:3,68,11 44:21,14,20 45:12 44:21,14,20 45:12 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 46:16,17 56:13,13,15 44:12,14,20 45:12 45:21,24 46:24 46:24 46:17,78,18 course 24:7,20 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,20 45:12 50:12,14,20 45:12 44:21,14,20 45:12 20:2,23 50:11,17,21 50:12,14,24 46:24 42:12,14,20 45:12 42:14,11 42:14,12 42:14,12 42				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ceiling 26:18 51:16 52:13 community 21:20 39:17 contrary 5:19 control 1:1 2:2 5:4 66:1,13,16 77:3,8 77:18 65:12,13,18,20 66:1,13,16 77:3,8 77:18 27:16,21 28:1,4 28:12 29:2,12,21 30:2,14 40:22 41:2,14 43:9,18 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 42:2 43:4,11 42:2 completed 21:18,24 36:16 components 10:18 com		,			
52:13 39:17 control 1:1 2:2 5:4 66:1,13,16 77:3,8 28:12 29:2,12,21 centers 11:24 company 7:15 15:16 26:8 30:4 66:11 60:4 74:6 county's 8:9 11:24 40:7 55:17,23 30:2,14 40:22 41:2,14 43:9,18 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 44:12,14,20 45:12 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 46:19 67:3,13,15 56:1,3,13,15 56:1,3,13,15 56:1,3,13,15 56:1,3,13,15 56:1,3,13,15 56:1,3,13,15 56:1,3,13,15 56:1,2,24 46:24 48:19 49:22,23 45:21,24 46:24 48:19 49:22,23 50:12,14,17,21					
centers 11:24 company 7:15 6:11 60:4 74:6 77:18 30:2,14 40:22 cents 38:14 15:16 26:8 30:4 34:4 controlled 26:8 county's 8:9 11:24 41:2,14 43:9,18 certain 6:1 9:1 34:4 completed 21:18,24 36:16 course 24:7,20 45:21,24 46:24 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 44:12,14,20 45:12 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 48:19 49:22,23 challenge 8:24 challenges 10:23 challenging 9:24 change 29:1 37:21 con-41:7 25:18 56:1,3,13 correct 15:19,24 court 6:5 7:16 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 51:17 52:15 53:22 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21 50:12,14,17,21	S				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
cents 38:14 15:16 26:8 30:4 controlled 26:8 county's 8:9 11:24 41:2,14 43:9,18 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 certain 6:1 9:1 34:4 completed 21:18,24 36:16 courty's 8:9 11:24 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 44:12,14,20 45:12 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 45:21,24 46:24 48:19 49:22,23 45:21,24 46:24 48:19 49:22,23 56:13,13,15 56:13,13,15 56:13,13,15 56:13,13,15 56:13,14,721 45:21,24 46:24 48:19 49:22,23 48:19 49:22,23 50:12,14,17,21					
certain 6:1 9:1 34:4 conversations 40:7 55:17,23 43:20 44:3,6,8,11 22:24 37:24 36:4 36:4 56:13,13,13,15 44:12,14,20 45:12 certainly 62:23 36:4 36:16 36:16 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 challenges 10:23 con-41:7 55:18 56:1,3,13 28:9 29:12 31:8 32:10,16 33:9 54:10,14,20 55:1 change 29:1 37:21 55:18 56:1,3,13 28:9 29:12 31:8 48:3,6 77:7 55:11 56:12,23 55:6 56:15,17 36:13 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 55:11 56:21,23 53:23 Concluding 4:14 43:9,18,20,24 60:9,20 64:15 63:13 Conditions 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 60:9,20 64:15 63:13 50:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 66:3,7,10,12,18 chelsten@hinsha 2:2 55:17 52:1 55:18 56:13,13 66:3,7,10,12,18 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 50:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 70:1,5,19,20 71:12 73:12 70:1,5,19,20 71:12 73:12 70:1,5,19,20 <					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22:24 37:24	cents 38:14	15:16 26:8 30:4	controlled 26:8	county's 8:9 11:24	
certainly 62:23 36:4 36:16 course 24:7,20 45:21,24 46:24 63:17 components 10:18 compound 44:22 correct 15:19,24 court 6:5 7:16 50:12,14,17,21 challenges 10:23 con-41:7 18:13 23:19 24:7 13:18,23 14:10 51:17 52:15 53:22 change 29:1 37:21 55:18 56:1,3,13 28:9 29:12 31:8 48:3,6 77:7 55:11 56:21,23 55:6 56:15,17 34:10 35:13,17,21 covered 62:24 63:1 55:11 56:21,23 changed 27:22 concluded 6:18 36:1 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 9:24 12:9 characterizing 41:5 63:13 conditions 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 60:9,20 64:15 63:13 conditions 49:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 chelsten@hinsha 2:8 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 67:4 67:4 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 77:8 66:19 67:9,14 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 70:1,5,19,20 Chuck 7:3 19:4 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5	certain 6:1 9:1	34:4	conversations	40:7 55:17,23	, , ,
63:17 components 10:18 Cook 1:19 77:8,18 32:18 47:14 48:19 49:22,23 challenge 8:24 compound 44:22 con-41:7 concert 15:19,24 court 6:5 7:16 50:12,14,17,21 challenging 9:24 concern 11:24 12:2 25:3 26:10 27:11 32:10,16 33:9 54:10,14,20 55:1 change 29:1 37:21 55:18 56:1,3,13 28:9 29:12 31:8 48:3,6 77:7 55:11 56:21,23 changed 27:22 concluded 6:18 Concluding 4:14 36:1 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 58:15,18,19,24 characterizing 41:5 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 66:3,7,10,12,18 CHARLES 2:9 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 chicago 2:3,19 3:4 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 day's 27:5 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6	22:24 37:24	completed 21:18,24	17:18 18:2 20:9	56:1,3,13,15	5 5
challenge 8:24 compound 44:22 correct 15:19,24 court 6:5 7:16 50:12,14,17,21 challenges 10:23 concern 11:24 12:2 concern 11:24 12:2 25:3 26:10 27:11 23:10,16 33:9 54:10,14,20 55:1 change 29:1 37:21 55:18 56:1,3,13 28:9 29:12 31:8 48:3,6 77:7 55:11 56:21,23 changed 27:22 concluded 6:18 36:1 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 characterizing 41:5 63:13 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 59:10,19,22 60:6 63:13 6helsten@hinsha 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 77:8 60:10 64:16,17 65:8,13,14 66:18 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON Chuck 7:3 19:4 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6	certainly 62:23	36:4	36:16	course 24:7,20	5
challenges 10:23 con-41:7 18:13 23:19 24:7 13:18,23 14:10 51:17 52:15 53:22 challenging 9:24 concern 11:24 12:2 25:3 26:10 27:11 32:10,16 33:9 54:10,14,20 55:1 54:10,14,20 55:1 55:11 56:21,23 55:11 56:21,23 55:11 56:21,23 55:11 56:21,23 55:11 56:21,23 55:11 56:21,23 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 55:11 56:21,23 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 58:15,18,19,24 59:10,19,22 60:6 59:10,19,22 60:6 60:9,20 64:15 60:9,20 64:15 60:9,20 64:15 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 66:3,7,10,12,18 67:8,13 68:4,11 66:3,7,10,12,18 67:8,13 68:4,11 68:18,22,22,24 69:5,12,13,21 69:5,12,13,21 69:5,12,13,21 70:1,5,19,20 71:12 73:12 70:1,5,19,20 71:12 73:12 70:1,5,19,20 71:12 73:12 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 4ay's 27:5 71:12 73:12	63:17	components 10:18	Cook 1:19 77:8,18	32:18 47:14	
challenging 9:24 concern 11:24 12:2 25:3 26:10 27:11 32:10,16 33:9 54:10,14,20 55:1 55:11 56:21,23 55:6 56:15,17 34:10 35:13,17,21 covered 62:24 63:1 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 changed 27:22 concluded 6:18 36:1 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 9:24 12:9 characterizing 41:5 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 55:14,56,10,17,19 challenging 9:24 concluded 6:18 41:22 42:4,11 9:24 12:9 55:11,56:21,23 changed 27:22 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criteria 6:1 8:4 9:24 12:9 characterizing 41:5 conditional 5:18 44:4,11 49:23 9:18 10:24 11:5,7 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 chelsten@hinsha 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross 72:1,3 cross-examination 67:8,13 68:4,11 2:8 52:21 72:21 55:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 70:2 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5	challenge 8:24	compound 44:22	correct 15:19,24	court 6:5 7:16	
challenging 9:24 concern 11:24 12:2 25:3 26:10 27:11 32:10,16 33:9 54:10,14,20 55:1 55:11 56:21,23 55:6 55:18 56:13,13 28:9 29:12 31:8 34:10 35:13,17,21 32:10,16 33:9 48:3,6 77:7 55:11 56:21,23 changed 27:22 concluded 6:18 36:1 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 characterizing 41:5 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criteria 6:1 8:4 9:24 12:9 59:10,19,22 60:6 chelsten@hinsha 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 chicago 2:3,19 3:4 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross 72:1,3 cross examination 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6	challenges 10:23	con- 41:7	18:13 23:19 24:7	13:18,23 14:10	51:17 52:15 53:22
change 29:1 37:21 55:18 56:1,3,13 28:9 29:12 31:8 48:3,6 77:7 55:11 56:21,23 changed 27:22 concluded 6:18 34:10 35:13,17,21 covered 62:24 63:1 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 characterizing 41:5 63:13 Condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criteria 6:1 8:4 9:24 12:9 59:10,19,22 60:6 chelsten@hinsha 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 67:8,13 68:4,11 2:8 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross-examination 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 77:8 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5		concern 11:24 12:2	25:3 26:10 27:11	32:10,16 33:9	54:10,14,20 55:1
55:6 56:15,17 34:10 35:13,17,21 covered 62:24 63:1 57:2,4,17 58:9,10 changed 27:22 53:23 36:1 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 58:15,18,19,24 53:23 56:15,17 41:22 42:4,11 9:24 12:9 59:10,19,22 60:6 characterizing 41:5 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 60:9,20 64:15 63:13 conditional 5:18 44:4,11 49:23 9:18 10:24 11:5,7 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 chelsten@hinsha 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross 72:1,3 67:8,13 68:4,11 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross-examination 68:18,22,22,24 69:5,12,13,21 65:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 71:12 73:12 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5			28:9 29:12 31:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	55:11 56:21,23
changed 27:22 concluded 6:18 36:1 38:22 40:3 criteria 6:1 8:4 58:15,18,19,24 53:23 41:22 42:4,11 9:24 12:9 59:10,19,22 60:6 63:13 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 60:9,20 64:15 63:13 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 9:18 10:24 11:5,7 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 6HARLES 2:9 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 chelsten@hinsha 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross 72:1,3 67:8,13 68:4,11 2:8 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 77:8 conducted 6:12 65:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 cting 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5				-	57:2,4,17 58:9,10
53:23 Concluding 4:14 41:22 42:4,11 9:24 12:9 59:10,19,22 60:6 characterizing 41:5 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 60:9,20 64:15 CHARLES 2:9 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 chelsten@hinsha 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross 72:1,3 67:8,13 68:4,11 2:8 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross-examination 68:18,22,22,24 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 conducted 6:12 65:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5		*			58:15,18,19,24
characterizing 41:5 condition 50:16 43:9,18,20,24 criterion 9:1,2,11 60:9,20 64:15 63:13 63:13 8:14 44:4,11 49:23 9:18 10:24 11:5,7 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 63:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 63:13 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 66:3,7,10,12,18 65:4,5,6,10,17,19 66:3,7,10,12,18 63:13 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 63:14 52:5 56:19 58:12 58:16 59:5,18,20 67:8,13 68:4,11 63:18,22,22,24 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 70:1,5,19,20 70:1,5,19,20 71:12 73:12 66:19 67:9,14 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 6ay's 27:5 6ay's 27:5	S				59:10,19,22 60:6
63:13			,		60:9,20 64:15
CHARLES 2:9 8:14 50:8 51:17,18 11:11 66:3,7,10,12,18 chelsten@hinsha 52:21 72:21 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross-examination 67:8,13 68:4,11 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 conduct 19:12 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 77:8 conducted 6:12 65:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5				, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
chelsten@hinsha conditions 49:14 52:5 56:19 58:12 cross 72:1,3 67:8,13 68:4,11 2:8 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 conduct 19:12 65:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5			,	·	
2:8 52:21 72:21 58:16 59:5,18,20 cross-examination 68:18,22,22,24 Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 conduct 19:12 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 77:8 conducted 6:12 65:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Chicago 2:3,19 3:4 conduct 19:12 60:10 64:16,17 67:4 69:5,12,13,21 77:8 conducted 6:12 65:8,13,14 66:18 CSR 1:18 77:6,17 70:1,5,19,20 Chuck 7:3 19:4 66:19 67:9,14 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5	$\overline{\mathcal{C}}$,	
77:8			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Chuck 7:3 citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 Chuck 7:3 confined 50:6 CULBERTSON 71:12 73:12 day's 27:5 Culling 16:14 22:5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
citing 16:14 22:5 confined 50:6 70:2,6,7,10,12,15 2:6 day's 27:5				-	5 5 5
70.2,0,7,10,12,10			,		
22.10 30.13 33.20 Confirm 24.4	C				l •
	22.10 30.13 33.20	COIIIII III 24.4	14.44,43 11.14	Carrently 13.9	
		l	l	l	l

January 12, 2016

	1	1	1	
54:19,22 68:7	different 31:2	E	65:16	58:1,7,24 64:23
deadline 75:14,16	37:19 64:19	E 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1,16	ERDS's 25:8 53:20	65:3 66:4,7 67:8
deals 62:22	direct 62:17,24	10:13,13,13 11:22	66:3,6,9	67:12 69:6 70:21
December 16:24	64:10 71:24	11:22,22 14:3,6,6	error 9:15	70:22
17:4,8,10	direction 34:5	26:5 29:8,8,8 33:2	essential 10:18	fact 44:9 49:10
decided 75:17	directly 46:21	33:5,5 51:8 53:14	essentially 8:10	facts 71:17
decision 6:16,22	69:18 71:6	60:16,16,16 67:1	12:3,7 33:14	failed 5:24
10:16 25:7 75:14	disagreed 24:20	67:1 73:7,7	establish 5:24	fair 21:12,17 26:21
75:16	disclosure 12:7	effect 23:19	established 11:1,5	35:7 52:11 56:7
defect 10:21	discussing 75:13	effectuated 8:15	11:8,13	fairly 74:3
deficient 8:12	discussion 28:20	efficiency 71:9	Etna 3:14	fall 36:5
defined 67:19	49:21 55:14 56:21	efficient 54:7 71:5	evaluation 18:19	February 75:18,21
demonstrated 53:4	56:22 57:9 75:9	71:5,11	18:20 19:4,13	fee 20:23 21:13
54:9	discussions 16:22	eight 11:11	22:13	37:11,16 38:9,11
demonstrative	17:3,14 30:4	either 12:12	event 38:15 62:3	38:13,18 40:15,19
61:17	36:10,20,24 37:3	elements 35:11	everybody 5:2	41:6,9 42:7,10,18
Dennis 3:5 7:20	49:24 55:18 56:14	emphasizing 49:11	everybody's 76:1	42:19 43:6 57:11
deposeth 14:5 33:4	disposal 1:6,13	employed 33:15,19	evidence 23:13,16	66:1
deprived 8:12	3:17 5:8,12 7:13	engineer 16:5	30:12 61:18 71:18	feel 60:1 65:2
describe 63:8 64:5	14:16,18 15:13	34:23	exact 36:6	feels 12:8
described 22:12	33:18,22,24 34:8	enter 39:1	exactly 16:19 38:22	fees 19:18 21:7
describes 63:18	38:17 42:13	entered 15:7 20:14	68:15	40:1,10 41:1,16
64:7	dispose 41:24 42:6	21:21	exceeded 40:21	41:19,20,23 43:13
design 16:6,13,16	dissatisfied 11:6	entire 35:5	41:14	43:14 65:13
16:23 17:4 18:4	dmoran@peders	entitled 5:5 62:5	excess 21:7 43:8	fifth 68:9,22
18:11 21:18 22:1	2:20	Environmental 1:6	66:18	file 75:15
34:19,24 35:2,5	docket 5:13	1:12 3:17 5:8,12	excited 21:15	filed 8:2 10:16 17:9
35:11 36:3,11	Document 61:12	6:11 7:13 14:16	excused 31:24 75:5	51:14 75:21
44:1 57:20,22	doing 19:19 77:7	14:18 15:13,21	exhibit 4:18 60:23	final 56:18
58:1,3,6,23 59:3	Don 7:14 13:9 26:7	17:22 31:4 33:18	61:2,13,17,22	find 30:24
designed 9:6,12	27:2	33:22,24 34:8,19	62:4	finding 10:24
16:9 18:10,14	Donald 2:20 3:22	ERDS 3:22,22	expand 60:8	fine 47:16 48:23
35:15 43:16,21	7:10 13:14,22	12:17 15:9,17,21	expect 40:9	61:6
44:9,15	14:11	16:6,13,18 20:19	explain 8:1	fires 9:14
designer 35:8	door 72:2	21:6 22:10,20	explanation 10:3	first 10:8,19 14:4
designs 36:7	doors 54:5	23:8 25:1 27:24	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	33:3 38:19 39:22
determination 11:4	double 54:23 59:19	29:19,23 30:7	F 2:9	40:1,10 46:7
determined 24:11	dramatically 74:6	34:11,13,18 36:10	facilities 9:12 51:19	fit 58:1
57:13	Drive 3:3	36:20 37:6 39:1	51:19 71:1	five 6:16 9:9,11,24
develop 15:17	due 21:14 37:16	41:4,12,22 42:9	facility 9:3,5 12:5,9	flexibility 49:12,18
developed 24:23	75:18,19,20,21	42:10 43:6 44:18	24:18 26:12 27:4	54:17,24 55:3
74:3	duly 14:4 33:3	45:9,19 46:22	27:9,18,19 28:8	59:7,14 64:18
dgwalsh@ktjlaw	duties 34:1	48:13 49:11 50:5	28:11 29:20 52:15	Floor 2:12
3:5	DX 4:5,8	50:16,23 52:12	53:19,21 54:9	folks 60:7
difference 30:24		59:21 60:4,18	33.17,21 37.7	follow-up 25:20
	<u> </u>			

January 12, 2016

Following 70:16	18:21 29:13 36:7	30:10,17 50:2,20	help 13:21 32:14	38:23 39:1,9,17
follows 14:5 33:4	37:16 47:1,5	53:5 54:4,24	49:19	40:1,8,10,19 41:1
62:1	61:16,21 62:15	59:14 60:2 64:21	Helsten 2:9 4:4,9	41:6,8,9,16,19,20
foregoing 77:11,11	63:10 66:15 67:5	64:22,24 65:1	4:12 7:1,2,3 8:5,6	41:23 42:7,8,10
foresee 74:5	68:12,16 69:18,19	66:14 69:10,13	9:21 11:20,23	42:12,17 43:6,13
foresight 74:9	73:18	handled 16:20 17:7	13:17 25:16,21,22	43:14 44:4 55:13
forth 8:10,11 9:2	Good 5:1 14:8 33:7	27:4	26:14 31:14 51:6	55:19 56:13,18
forward 30:16 34:6	grant 30:13	handling 14:20	51:9 53:8 55:20	57:11 58:21 65:7
found 6:13	granted 50:17	27:15	55:24 66:22 67:2	65:11,13,14,21,21
foundation 12:3	gravamen 12:2	haul 43:11 57:6	71:20,21 72:10,20	66:1,12
four 68:7	great 40:14 76:2	69:11	72:24	HOUPT 2:17
free 38:5,8,17	greater 40:15 64:14	hauler 14:20	Helsten's 10:2	hours 64:18
40:14 43:11	ground 5:16	hauling 15:10 34:9	Henry 3:21	hurry 25:19
fulfill 57:6	grounds 5:22 8:10	38:4,7 56:24	higher 24:14 49:22	hypothetical 71:17
fulfilling 40:16	8:18 12:4	headed 20:11	57:4	71:22,23
full 14:9 33:8,10	guys 76:1	health 9:7 12:1	HINSHAW 2:6	71.22,23
further 25:13 31:10	Guzman 2:14 7:5	hear 8:13 24:10	hire 34:18	I
51:2 53:8 74:18	8:5 13:17	heard 28:21 51:24	hired 15:21 16:6	I-P-E-M-A 14:12
74:22		52:4	Hock 3:21 4:18	33:11
	H	hearing 1:17 2:4	15:6 16:5,9,12,16	idea 71:22
G	H 4:16	4:2,14 5:1,3 6:17	16:23 17:2,4 19:4	identification
G 3:5 10:13 11:22	half 62:5	7:8,22,24 9:20	19:12 21:18,24	61:14
garbage 33:14	Hall 1:20	10:1,5 11:1,18	22:9,12,23 23:8	identified 39:23
37:22 38:4,7	Halloran 1:17 2:4	12:11,15,19,24	23:24 24:6,10	60:24 61:1
40:14 41:24 54:5	5:1,2 7:3,8,22 8:7	13:7,10,15 16:14	28:3,10,14,17,18	identifies 62:9
54:21 56:24 66:15	8:9 9:20 10:1,5	18:24 19:9 23:14	30:18,19 34:22	ii 9:5
69:11,17	11:18 12:15,19,24	23:20 24:11,13,20	35:2,15 43:16	iii 9:16
generate 68:23	13:6,7,10,15	25:14,18,23 26:3	44:18 45:8 46:13	Illinois 1:1,8,20,21
69:4	18:24 19:9 23:14	26:23 29:5,15	46:21 47:2,12,15	2:2,3,7,13,19,22
gentlemen 26:24	23:20 25:14,18,23	31:11,15,17,20	48:18 49:10 50:5	3:4,8,14 5:3,9
George 3:16 7:12	26:3,21,23 29:5	32:1,6,20 44:24	50:22 54:8 57:19	6:10 7:11 10:15
george@mueller	29:15 31:11,15,17	45:5,9,14 46:3,22	58:10,23 61:13,19	28:22 31:4 77:1,9
3:15	31:20 32:1,6,20	47:7,14,20 48:2,7	67:7	77:18
germane 74:9	44:24 45:5,14	48:22 49:6 50:23	Hock's 18:4 23:3	impose 59:12
getting 68:15,15	46:3 47:7,20 48:2	51:3 53:9,12 56:9	23:18 29:1 44:1	imposed 31:6 51:23
give 10:9 13:20	48:7,22 49:6 51:3	60:13,22 61:1,4,9	47:6 49:1 62:21	72:22
32:12	51:7 53:9,12 56:9	62:18 63:2,15,21	hold 38:1	inaccurate 30:21
given 18:8 31:1	60:13 61:4,9	66:21 69:16 71:19	holidays 54:19	46:14
41:14 51:17 52:15	62:18 63:2,15,21	72:4,12 73:1,5	59:17	inadvertence 9:17
gives 55:3 59:6	66:21 71:19 72:4	74:11,15,19,24	host 19:16,18 20:4	include 42:1 50:15
GLASGOW 2:11	72:12 73:1,5	75:6,11	20:5,10,13,22,23	66:17
go 38:1 47:11 54:21	74:11,15,19,24	hearings 22:5,8,10	21:7,9,13,13,20	included 18:5
69:18 75:7	75:6,11	22:17 23:18 24:7	36:17 37:5,11,16	19:17 49:21 65:7
God 13:21 32:14	hand 13:19 32:9,11	35:20,24 46:14	37:21,22 38:3,5,6	incomplete 24:12
going 12:17 13:12	handle 18:9 19:22	held 77:10	38:9,11,12,15,18	incorrect 24:12
			, , , , -, -	
	•	-	•	•

	İ	Ī	I	Í
incorrectly 47:16	4:6,7,8,9,10,11,12	23:23 36:6 46:10	looks 13:2	mguzman@willc
increase 70:2,6	4:13 7:14,14 13:9	49:24 56:20 73:24	Lori 1:18 13:11	2:14
74:7	13:13,14,22 14:8		32:9 48:7 72:12	MICHAEL 3:10
increased 25:8	14:11,13 16:20	L	77:6,17	Mick 3:23
69:24	19:3,12 22:4	L 2:14 14:3	lot 65:2	Mike 7:18
increases 54:22	25:12 29:10,18	lack 39:23	lower 12:8	mind 48:21 56:2
indicate 30:12	31:21 32:4,8,15	lacked 10:20		minimize 9:13
indicated 12:10	32:18 33:10,12	Lake 51:22	M	minimum 23:10
23:4,8 24:6 25:7	45:8 49:10 51:1	landfill 41:18,21	M 10:13 11:22 14:3	24:3 44:13,20
26:7 29:10 30:16	60:12,18 61:16	42:3,11,14,21	14:6 26:5 29:8	45:11,20,24 46:10
48:18 50:5	67:3 72:5	43:4 56:16	33:2,5 51:8 53:14	46:23 48:14,15,19
individual 34:22	Ipema's 47:13	language 64:11	60:16 67:1 73:7	minute 75:8
industrial 14:21	irrelevant 49:5	larger 54:16	machine 77:10	misleading 18:22
information 11:15	issue 74:10	led 17:20 24:16	main 12:2	misstate 47:10
18:8 30:11	issues 31:5 74:9	leeway 49:7	making 57:10	misstates 23:12
initially 30:14	iv 9:16,19	left 6:24	man 33:14	44:23 47:6
50:21 57:20		let's 48:5 53:16	manage 58:8 64:9	Moen 1:21 14:24
input 12:13	J	68:6	64:14,19 67:8	15:19 17:19 34:12
instructions 57:21	J 2:20 4:8,9,10,11	level 12:8,10 37:15	Management 1:8	37:12 40:11 41:13
57:22	4:12,13 33:2	light 14:21	2:22 5:9,21 7:11	41:17 42:16 62:7
intend 26:12 52:13	JAMES 2:11	Likewise 70:5	10:15 11:12	62:12,23 63:9,20
52:16,18,24 53:1	January 1:22 6:8	limit 23:11 28:19	manner 54:7 64:24	64:6 69:14 71:2
57:16 60:2	Jay 3:22 7:14 16:20	29:1 31:1,6 43:22	March 22:6 35:24	71:13,15
intended 9:5 12:5	17:7,20 20:11	44:3 46:11	75:19,19	money 57:10
15:5 26:18 27:19	22:2 30:4 32:4,15	limitation 12:13	margin 59:7	monies 41:11
27:20 49:17 54:13	32:18 33:7,10	25:4	marked 61:12,17	monthly 67:18
55:6,9 58:18 59:9	51:10 53:16 56:1	limited 28:22 60:19	market 70:19	months 39:14,15
60:9 66:16 67:12	Jay's 55:21	limiting 27:24	Matt 7:5	Moran 2:20 4:3,5,7
intends 12:5	JENKINS 3:2	line 70:16	matter 8:13	4:8,11 7:10,10
intent 26:11 29:2	John 3:21 16:5	little 8:1 45:17 49:7	MATTHEW 2:14	10:8,11,14 11:19
29:11,18,23 30:3	34:22	51:11	maximum 43:22	13:3,5,8 14:7 15:8
30:7 53:20 65:16	Joliet 2:13 3:8	located 9:6 14:23	54:15 59:22	19:1,2,7,10,11
66:3,6,9,13 73:11	Joutras 3:23	15:3,4 34:16	Mayor 3:23	23:14,15 24:5
73:13	jurisdiction 5:16	57:24	mean 26:17 37:2,2	25:12 29:7,9,16
intention 69:5,8,10	8:13 10:20	location 15:10,22	52:9	29:17 31:10 32:2
70:20 71:4,14	jurisdictional 5:22	34:11,13	meaning 26:12	32:4,22 33:6 45:2
interacted 22:2		long 33:19 37:20	28:7 39:24	45:4,7,17,18 46:5
interested 6:5	K	39:12	means 64:1 77:9	47:3,8,9,22 48:5
54:14	K77:3	look 6:19 13:16	meetings 17:21,24	48:16 49:7,9 51:1
interpose 48:24	keep 13:24 32:17	21:22 23:2 24:3	meets 12:9	51:4 60:15,17,22
introduce 6:24	kick 38:9	38:21,23 46:15	members 6:16,19	61:7,11,15 62:19
introduction 4:2	kicked 38:19	61:24	mentioned 20:4	62:20 63:6,16,17
8:9	kind 45:1	looked 51:18	mere 9:17	64:3 66:20 70:17
involved 35:6 36:10	KLEIN 3:2	looking 24:21	met 5:18,24 6:1 9:1	74:8,21,22
Ipema 3:22,22 4:5	know 16:20 21:24	30:23	57:19	Moran's 67:4 71:24
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

January 12, 2016

73:9	negotiation 20:9	9:20 10:1,5 11:2	24:17 49:14 59:7	particulars 49:4
morning 5:2 14:8	negotiations 56:6	11:18 12:15,19,24	operationally 55:4	parties 6:5,24 39:6
33:7	never 58:23 65:16	13:7,10,15 18:24	operations 9:12	75:22
move 28:16	66:2,7,9,16 67:19	19:9 23:14,20	34:3	pay 21:6 38:18
moving 12:6 34:5	nine 39:14,15	25:14,18,23 26:3	operator 41:21	41:23,24 42:9
mstiff@spesia-ay	North 2:12,18 3:3	26:23 29:5,15	42:3,20,22	65:13
3:9	notary 1:18 77:18	31:11,15,17,20	opinion 28:24 54:9	payment 20:23
MTS 62:6,6	noted 8:8 49:8	32:1,6,20 44:24	59:2	37:11 41:4,6,11
Mueller 3:13,16	notes 9:19 77:12	45:5,14 46:3 47:7	order 5:15,21	42:2,5,22 43:1,10
4:6,10,13 7:12,12	notice 8:11 10:22	47:20 48:2,7,22	originally 57:3	payments 37:16
9:23 10:6 11:21	noticed 6:10 13:3	49:6 51:3 53:9,12	Ottawa 2:12 3:14	43:3,6,7
12:16,17 18:21	number 6:3 8:11	56:9 60:13,22	outside 71:1	PCB 1:4,10 5:9,13
23:12 25:15,16	8:14 9:3,9 27:22	61:4,9 62:18 63:2	Overruled 23:20	5:15,20
26:6,17,20 27:1	50:7 53:23 55:10	63:15,21 66:21	46:4 63:3,22 72:5	PEDERSEN 2:17
29:4,6,13 31:18	57:4,14 61:23	71:19 72:4,12	oversee 34:3,4	people 6:3
31:19 44:22 45:13	75:17	73:1,5 74:11,15	oversight 15:16	percent 71:13
46:1 47:1,5 48:24	numbers 50:4	74:19,24 75:6,11	owned 42:14	performed 22:13
53:13,15 55:22	61:24 62:1	Oh 29:15	owner 17:21	period 36:8
56:7,10,11 60:11		okay 10:1 12:19,24	owners 7:15	permission 50:16
62:15 63:10 67:11	0	13:7,10,14 25:18	ownership 15:15	permit 28:23 31:5
69:22 70:17 71:16	O 10:13 11:22 14:3	25:23 26:3 27:2		49:14
72:1 73:6,8 74:13	14:6 26:5,5 29:8	31:20,23 32:1	P P 1 1 7 2 1 1 1 2 1 1	permitting 31:1
74:14,17 75:15	33:5 51:8,8 53:14	35:23 47:7,20	P 1:17 2:1,1,4 3:1,1	person 36:19,23
Mueller's 26:15	53:14 60:16 67:1	51:12,24 53:6,12	10:13 11:22 14:3	petition 8:2,9 9:3
67:3 72:1	67:1 73:7,7 77:3,3	55:2,17 62:18	33:2 D C 2:12	9:16 10:16,17,23
Muller 10:2 26:4	o'clock 1:22	63:15 66:21 67:11	P.C 3:13	Petitioner 1:3,9
multiple 36:7	object 18:22 19:7 23:12 26:14 29:14	67:16 68:3 69:8	P.O 2:7	2:15,21 5:6,10
N		69:22 71:11,19	page 61:22 62:4,5 PAGES 4:1	pick 54:21
N 2:1 3:1 4:1 10:13	47:2,5 62:16 63:10	72:18 73:5	paid 38:11,13 41:9	picking 54:23
10:13,13 11:22,22	objection 26:15,21	once 65:22,22,23	41:20 42:19 43:14	piece 57:23
11:22 14:3,6,6	44:22 45:13 49:1	opened 72:2	41.20 42.19 43.14	pieces 36:7
26:5,5 29:8,8 33:5	49:8 56:7 71:16	opening 4:3,4 10:7	paragraph 8:22	place 22:6 35:24
33:5 51:8,8 53:14	74:8	10:9,11 12:18,22	parameters 18:9	37:19 39:19 40:7
53:14 60:16,16	obligated 21:6	12:23 75:18	Park 2:6	plan 9:12 11:12 55:16
67:1,1 73:7,7	obligation 38:17	openings 11:20	part 6:4 30:19 41:8	
name 14:9,10,11	57:7 65:12	operate 54:7 58:2 59:14 64:23 68:18	42:6 46:6,7 52:9	please 13:23 14:9 28:13 31:21 32:9
33:8,8,10,11	obviously 11:14	operated 9:6 18:14	62:20	32:17 33:8 45:2
names 6:6	occupation 14:14	18:15 34:5	partial 42:7	72:11
nature 14:17 34:7	33:13	operates 15:9	participate 17:13	point 30:18,19
necessary 9:4	October 5:15,21	operating 23:7	17:17 20:8 36:15	49:11 57:9 60:18
need 50:19 54:24	8:3 20:19 21:19	49:19	participated 17:23	64:14 71:6
57:1 73:21	OFFICE 2:11	operation 15:10	participation 56:6	points 62:13
needed 44:8 58:1	officer 1:17 2:4 4:2	27:5 30:10 57:11	particular 46:16	Pollution 1:1 2:2
needs 9:4 49:20	4:14 5:1,3 7:8,22	operational 9:14	54:22	5:3 6:11
		1		

	I	I	I	I
portion 48:10	properly 64:5	R	reference 24:16	35:19 36:16
72:15	property 15:1,18	R 2:1 3:1 14:3,6	61:2	Respondent 3:17
position 33:21	16:2 34:12 57:23	26:5 29:8,8 33:5	referencing 61:5	respondents 1:7,14
possibility 39:22	57:24 58:2	51:8 53:14 60:16	referring 46:17	3:11 5:13 9:22
possible 36:17	proposed 9:6 15:2	60:16 67:1,1 73:7	reflected 55:19	response 9:22
post-hearing 6:18	15:17,23 16:10,24	73:7	regard 59:17	69:23
6:20 11:16 75:14	17:14,19 18:1,5	raise 13:18 32:8,10	regarding 8:4	responses 75:19
75:23	18:12 19:5,13	ran 58:10,14	17:14,18,24 20:9	responsibilities
PowerPoint 4:18	20:6,24 21:18	Randolph 2:2	22:19 30:20 63:5	15:12 34:2
61:13,19	22:1,16,21 23:1	RCX 4:12,13	relevance 74:8	responsible 34:23
Prairie 41:18,21	25:2 26:12 34:15	RDX 4:7,11	Remain 25:14	36:20
42:3,11,14,17,20	34:19 35:3 40:19	reach 27:15 65:16	remarks 4:14 10:12	restriction 55:3
43:4 56:16 69:17	41:12 62:6,10	reached 38:10 44:6	remembers 49:3	59:12,22
69:18 71:6	63:9,19 64:6	read 48:3,6,8,11	render 6:21	retain 15:15
pre-filing 8:11	proposing 73:22	62:13 72:10,13,16	Repeat 22:22	review 8:2 9:3
10:21	protected 9:8	reader 56:2	rephrase 19:1	10:16 21:9 25:11
preferred 42:13	Protection 6:12	readily 64:8	26:22 29:16 45:3	reviewed 17:2 30:5
prepare 15:22	31:4	reading 5:14	56:8 74:12	Richard 14:11
presence 47:14	proven 11:5	realistically 66:10	replies 75:20	right 6:24 13:2,18
present 3:19 11:14	provided 20:22	really 49:4	report 11:2	18:3,20 21:10
52:3 60:5	21:13 37:10 38:17	reason 17:8 56:22	reported 77:9	27:8 32:8,11,21
presentation 61:19	61:24	65:19	reporter 6:5 13:18	43:23 44:21 59:4
presented 4:17	public 1:18 6:21	recall 16:19 17:6	13:23 14:10 32:10	69:12 72:8 73:22
11:2 23:6 61:3	9:7 45:9 75:20	17:23 18:1 21:10	32:16 33:9 48:3,6	75:7
62:21	77:18	24:13,15,16,22	77:7	Road 3:8,14
president 14:15	purpose 40:13	46:9 48:18,20	representing 7:6,10	Robert 3:23
15:13	54:17	50:9 69:23	request 22:20,24	Rockdale 1:5,5,11
pretty 34:4	pursuant 7:16	receive 12:6 40:10	25:1,9 26:16 29:1	1:12,20,21 3:11
previously 61:12	37:22 43:5 72:21	40:19 41:1,11,16	29:11,19,24 45:19	3:12,23,23 5:6,7
primarily 17:21	put 35:5 51:22	41:19 42:17	45:23 48:18 50:11	5:10,11 7:19,21
primary 55:18,23	52:21 65:11,17,20	received 21:5 41:3	51:14 59:10	10:17,20 11:1,3
56:13,15 65:21	Q	68:7,9	requested 46:11	14:24 16:14 17:10
principal 35:8	question 18:22	recommendations	48:9 72:14	22:5,20,24 23:9
principally 36:19	19:23 20:1 26:2	11:3	requesting 30:7	24:24 29:19,24
prior 7:24 16:24	26:15 28:16 44:23	record 6:20 23:13	44:19 45:10 46:23	30:8,13 37:21
Private 14:15	45:17 46:7,8,20	44:23 48:10 49:2	49:12	38:5,8,16 39:2,19
problem 63:14	47:18,24 48:1	49:8 60:23 72:15	requests 23:23	39:24 40:7,17 43:11 44:20 45:9
procedural 6:13,14	52:11,12 63:5,7	75:7,10,12	require 42:9	
proceed 32:21	72:9 73:10	Recycling 1:6,12	requirements 5:17	45:10,20 46:23
47:21 63:3	questioning 70:16	3:17 5:8,12 7:13	5:23	50:10 56:24 57:7
proceedings 1:16 15:7 76:4 77:10	questions 24:14,15	14:16,18 15:13	reserve 10:9 12:17 75:22	59:11 60:19,23
prohibited 8:21	25:13,22 26:1	17:22 33:18,22,24	residential 14:21	61:3,18 65:22,24 72:19
1 -	30:22 51:10 53:10	34:8		Rockford 2:7
project 16:20 17:7 17:20 20:12 26:8	69:23	redirect 29:7 67:4	respect 16:13,23 22:15,23 23:3	role 37:4
17.20 20.12 20.8	37.23	73:10	44.13,43 43.3	1016 37.4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	la			l
rolling 12:7	Section 5:17,19,23	57:5 65:9 66:5	66:14 69:20 71:2	74:15
room 1:20 6:4 52:4	8:17,21,22	sounded 73:10	71:13,15	taken 1:17 77:13
Round 51:22	Sections 6:13	space 58:4,5 59:3	stations 28:22	takes 27:9 57:6
routes 69:16 70:24	see 38:21 44:5	speak 49:2	30:24	65:4
71:8	46:15 51:19 58:20	special 7:3,4 72:21	stature 75:14,16	talk 8:1 53:16
RPR 1:18 77:6,17	seeking 23:9	specific 18:2 50:7	step 31:21 75:2	talked 28:3 55:12
Rule 7:17	seen 31:9 51:20	52:9,12 64:11	Stiff 3:10 7:18,18	talking 28:5
rules 6:13,14	sends 42:11	specifically 8:21	12:21 73:3	target 12:6
run 58:17	serve 9:5	spell 14:9 33:8	stopped 65:23	team 35:4 57:20
running 43:13	service 40:14 49:20	SPESIA 3:7	street 2:2,12,18	tell 58:7 68:17
<u> </u>	54:19 69:14 71:2	spills 9:14	54:6	telling 65:15
	73:24	SS 77:2	strike 28:15	terms 27:17 63:11
S 2:1 3:1 4:16 10:13	Services 1:6,13	stand 13:9,11	struggling 74:14	testified 22:9,15,19
10:13 11:22,22	3:18 5:8,12 7:13	standards 49:14	submit 16:16	22:23 24:11 30:19
26:5,5 51:8,8	14:18 15:14	standpoint 71:9	submitted 20:2	35:19 44:14 46:21
53:14,14 67:1,1	set 6:18 8:11 9:2	start 37:24 38:6,10	submitting 19:20	54:8 59:17
73:7,7	44:3 75:20	43:13	suggesting 28:11	testify 16:12 29:14
safe 24:17 28:5,6	sets 8:10	started 26:8	Suite 2:3,18 3:3	50:23 56:3,4
53:7 54:7,10,15	shorten 45:16	state 1:19 14:9	Summary 62:6	testimony 13:19
64:24 76:2	shorthand 77:10,12	23:16 28:22 33:7	support 61:20	23:2,4,5 24:4
safely 28:8 58:2,7	show 42:12 50:19	45:8 46:13 77:1,7	supports 11:15	30:20,23 32:11
58:20 64:22 67:8	61:16	77:9	Supreme 7:16	47:6,10 49:1,4
69:10	signed 20:19 37:5	state's 2:11 7:4,6	Sure 8:6 45:4	51:13 52:1,4,8
safer 65:2	39:6,8,9,10,18	stated 23:4 44:18	surprise 10:3	61:20 62:21 68:21
safety 9:7 12:1 59:7	40:8	47:16	surrounding 9:13	text 9:19
saith 14:5 33:4	significant 70:2,6,9	statement 4:3,4	sustained 29:16	thank 7:2,8,22 8:6
Samuel 3:23	70:12,14	23:18 47:4 64:16	45:15	9:20 10:5 11:17
sat 52:3	significantly 50:4	statements 10:8	swear 13:11,19	11:18 12:15,20
saying 30:3 48:17	60:8	24:19,21	32:9,11	13:1,23 25:12,24
says 62:6 64:13	simply 9:17 61:2	station 15:2,18,23	sworn 14:1,5 32:19	26:23 29:5 31:11
scavenger 14:15	71:4	16:10,17,24 17:15	33:4	31:19,21 32:6,16
scenario 19:6,7	Sir 75:2	17:19 18:1,5,12		32:22 45:5 51:1,3
39:22 40:24 58:11	sit 13:12	19:5,14 20:6 21:1	T	51:6 56:9 60:12
58:11,15,18 59:16	site 15:22 16:3	21:5,15,19 22:1	T 4:16 10:13,13,13	60:13 61:6,11
65:2 68:13,16	27:14 34:14 42:14	22:17,21 23:1,10	11:22,22,22 14:6	66:20 68:16 72:24
74:5	situation 40:9	25:2 30:1 31:5,7	14:6 26:5 29:8,8	73:1 74:19,22,24
scenarios 37:19	size 57:24	34:15,20,24 35:3	33:5,5 51:8 53:14	75:2,4 76:2
64:15,17,19	slide 62:4 63:7,12	35:9,12,16 36:4	60:16,16 67:1	theoretical 54:10
schedule 21:22	solid 11:12 15:2,18	36:11 37:12 38:20	73:7	54:15
scheduled 6:10	16:6,10 17:15	40:2,11,20,21	take 6:19 13:9	things 37:13
schedules 6:19	18:5 21:4 25:2	41:12,14,18 42:16	26:13 27:15 28:8	think 13:12 18:22
scope 62:17	29:21,24 30:2	43:8,17 44:2,7,10	29:2 38:23 60:3	19:19 23:15 25:16
seated 25:15	34:15 36:3 44:9	44:16 45:11 50:11	66:15,16 68:21	38:2 48:15 50:20
second 8:19 46:6	55:15	54:4 62:7,12,23	70:20 71:6,12,14	57:3 61:4,22
secondary 55:13,19	sorry 40:4 48:1	63:9,20 64:6,8	73:11,14,18,20	62:16 63:17 64:1
		33.7,2001.0,0		32.10 03.17 01.1
	1	•	•	1

January 12, 2016

71.01.02.70.1	50.12 14 17 21	4	rillo ao 1.5 5 11 12	
71:21,23 72:1	50:12,14,17,21	trucks 54:6	village 1:5,5,11,12	wanted 25:19 44:5
75:24	53:3,22 54:10,14	true 23:5 25:6	1:20 3:11,12,23	wants 63:12
third 8:18 64:13	55:11 56:21,23	77:11	3:23 5:6,7,10,11	wasn't 35:5
THORPE 3:2	57:4,15,17 58:9	Trustees 1:5,12	7:18,20 8:12,16	waste 1:8 2:22 5:9
thought 44:12	58:18 59:10,22	3:12 5:7,11	10:17,19 16:14	5:21 7:11 10:15
three 10:18	60:6,9,20 64:8,9	truth 13:20,20,21	17:10 22:5 24:24	11:12 14:20,22
threshold 19:18	64:15,21,22 65:1	32:12,13,13	25:6 26:1 28:19	15:2,9,18 16:6,10
21:2,5 41:2 44:3	65:4,5,6,10,17,19	try 19:9 67:5	29:24 30:8,12	17:15 18:5 20:24
65:7,11	66:3,7,10,12,18	turn 13:16	31:15 38:16 39:2	21:4,7 25:2 26:9
through-put 12:14	67:8,13 68:4,10	turned 59:2	43:11 44:19 51:15	26:13 29:21 30:1
18:6,11,19 19:13	68:11,22,23 69:5	two 6:1 8:14 11:7	53:9 59:11 61:18	30:2 34:9,15 36:4
19:14 21:14 22:12	69:12,13,21 70:1	13:3 35:24 36:1	72:18,22	37:11 38:19 40:2
22:16,21 23:1,7	70:5,19,20 71:12	37:18,18	village's 10:24	40:10 41:17 42:10
25:7 26:19 27:3	73:11,14,23	typo 9:10	59:21	42:16 43:7 44:2,9
30:14,20 31:7	top 62:4,5	typographical 9:15	voice 13:24 32:17	49:13,18 50:3
35:12,16,20 40:20	touchstone 12:3		volume 12:4,5	51:16 52:14 53:18
41:13 43:17 44:10	transcribe 6:6	U	23:11 26:11 27:6	54:4,23 55:15
44:15 50:7 58:11	transcript 1:16 6:7	Uh-huh 43:2 52:2	27:7,9,17,19,20	56:16 59:14 60:2
58:15 60:19 62:9	47:12 77:12	62:11 64:12 68:8	28:1,5,19,21,23	68:7 71:12
Tim 3:20	transfer 15:18,23	74:4	29:1 30:9,17	watching 51:21
time 19:10 23:24	16:7,10,17,24	ultimate 6:15	37:15 42:16 49:17	water 48:21
26:7 36:8 39:17	17:15,19 18:1,5	unclear 18:23	50:2 53:16,17,18	way 9:18 24:12
39:21 40:5,7 60:5	18:12 19:5,13	understand 28:10	53:21 54:13,22	26:13 34:4 40:18
72:8 74:16	20:6 21:1,4,15,19	28:14,18 55:22	55:2,7,9 58:3 59:4	69:19,20 74:12
times 54:18	22:1,16,21 23:1,9	57:8	59:9,12,22 60:4	we're 13:12 34:5
timing 21:23	25:2 28:21 30:1	understanding	63:8,11,19 64:5,9	52:21 65:1 69:19
title 33:21	30:24 31:5,7	17:11 18:6 19:3	64:20 66:14 67:12	70:4 75:11
today 6:4,8 7:14	34:15,20,24 35:3	27:2,8,12 28:4,23	69:24 70:1 73:12	we've 71:23 75:17
51:13 52:3	35:8,12,16 36:4	30:6 31:3 53:17	73:17	week 68:19
told 58:23 67:7	36:11 37:12 38:20	54:1 55:21 56:5	volumes 23:6 24:14	weekly 67:17 68:2
69:22	40:2,11,20,21	56:12 67:17,21,23	49:23 62:10,22	welfare 9:7 12:1
ton 38:19 40:1,10	41:12,13,17 42:16	unlimited 12:13	64:14 74:6	went 56:16
tonnage 37:24	43:8,16 44:2,7,10	unsuccessful 40:16	vs 1:4,10	weren't 38:4
tons 12:12 18:16,17	44:15 45:11 50:11	use 63:11		West 2:2
19:16 20:2,3 21:3	54:4 62:7,12,23		W	wise 19:19
21:5,8,10,15	63:9,20 64:6,7	V	W 2:11	witness 13:11 14:1
23:10 24:1,1,3,9,9	66:14 69:14,20	vague 19:8	Wacker 3:3	14:4 23:22 31:23
25:1,4,8 26:9	71:2,13	verbiage 9:11	waiver 75:16	31:24 32:2,19
27:20 28:1,4,12	transferring 41:17	versus 5:6,10	Walsh 3:5 7:20,20	33:3 47:11 48:12
29:2,11,21 30:2	transfers 15:2	vice-president	12:21,23 26:2	49:3 61:8 63:4,23
38:9,10 40:21	transport 71:5	33:23 34:2	31:16 53:11 73:3	72:7,17 75:4,5
41:14 43:8,18,19	transportation	view 41:18,21 42:3	73:4	witnesses 13:3
44:8,11,12,14,20	16:7	42:11,14,17,20	want 10:7 47:10	worded 58:21
45:11,20,24 46:24	travels 76:2	43:4 56:16 69:17	48:3 61:1 64:23	work 76:2
48:19 49:22,23	trigger 65:12	69:18 71:6,7	73:13	worked 35:4
10.17 17.22,23				
	ı	ı	ı	ı

January 12, 2016

				Tage 07
worse 12:12	16-54 1:4 5:9,15	300 25:4 28:1 50:13	600-ton 18:19 19:5	
wouldn't 68:20	16-56 1:10 5:13	50:21 59:22 70:5	19:14,18 41:2	
written 11:2 20:16	16.56 5:20	300-ton 30:14	44:3,6 58:14,17	
20:18 37:8 39:4	161 2:18	31 4:7	58:24	
wrong 47:4	1660 3:3	3100 2:18	60432 2:13	
Wyke 3:23	17 61:22 62:2,4	312 2:4 3:4	60435 3:8	
	1998 33:20	33 4:8	60601 2:3	
X		39.2 5:19 8:17	60601-3224 2:19	
X 4:1,16 14:6 26:5	2	39.2(b) 5:17,23	60606 3:4	
29:8 33:5 51:8	2,200 49:23	39.2(d) 8:21,22	609 3:14	
53:14 60:16 67:1	2.16-acre 16:2		61 4:18	
73:7	20 3:3 38:8 43:12	4	61105-1389 2:7	
	43:12 65:23	400 70:8	61350 3:14	
Y	200 18:17 20:3 24:1	431-1500 3:15	66 4:11	
Y 33:2	24:8 27:20 28:8	45 38:14	67 4:12	
yeah 40:12 70:4	29:2,11,20 30:2	490-4900 2:8	6th 2:12	
year 41:15	49:18 53:22 54:14			
years 38:8 43:12,12	55:11 58:9,18	5	7	
65:23	59:10 60:9,20	5 4:2	7 4:18 61:14,17,22	
7	64:9,21,22 65:2	5,000 41:3,6,10	62:4	
<u>Z</u>	65:22 67:8,13	42:24	700 44:11,14	
zero 37:24 38:6	68:4,11,22 69:12	500 70:11	726-4311 3:9	
40:15 68:7	69:21 70:1 73:11	51 4:8,9	727-8751 2:13	
0	73:14,19,23	53 4:9,10	73 4:12,13	
	200-ton 19:21	57 2:12	75 4:13,14	
1	27:16 58:10	5th 75:21	76 4:14	
1,000 68:10,21,23	2014 17:1,5,9,10		782-5544 2:19	
69:4	20:20 21:19 22:7	6	79 1:21	
1,200 65:5	36:5,6	68:4		
1,800 65:4	2015 8:4 36:1,1	60 4:10,11	8	
10 4:2,3	2016 1:22 6:8 75:17	600 19:15 20:2 21:3	800 12:12	
100 2:2,6	75:18,19,19	21:5,7,10,15	814-3461 2:4	
101 6:13	20th 22:7	23:10 24:1,3,9	815 2:8,13 3:9,15	
107 6:13	21 75:17	25:1,8 28:3,12	0	
11 4:3,4 75:18	217 2:19	38:9,10 40:21	9	
11-500 2:3	21st 22:6,7	41:14 43:8,18,19	9:00 1:22	
12 4:4 6:8	2277 14:24	44:7,12,13,20	9:10 6:9	
125 18:15 26:9	22nd 22:6	45:11,20,24 46:9	984-6400 3:4	
27:16 60:6 69:13	237 7:17	46:24 48:19 49:22		
12th 1:21	25 4:5	50:11,17 53:3		
1389 2:7	26 4:6	54:10 56:21,23		
14 4:5 75:19	29 4:6,7	57:2,4,15,16 64:8		
1415 3:8		64:15,20 65:1,6		
15th 5:15,21	3	65:10,16,19 66:3		
16 62:1	3 75:19	66:7,10,11,11,18		
10 02.1		70:14,19,20 71:12		
	1	1	1	•